AMEGHINIANA
A GONDWANAN PALEONTOLOGICAL JOURNAL



Submitted: 27 July 2025 - **Accepted**: 17 October 2025 - **Posted online**: 1 December 2025

To link and cite this article:

doi: 10.5710/AMGH.17.10.2025.3660

NEW CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE KNOWLEDGE OF ABELISAURIDAE (DINOSAURIA, THEROPODA) FROM THE UPPER CRETACEOUS IBERO-ARMORICAN LANDMASS.

ERIK ISASMENDI[1], AND ELISABETE MALAFAIA[2,3]

[1]Departamento de Geología/Geologia saila, Facultad de Ciencia y Tecnología/Zientzia eta Teknologia Fakultatea, Universidad del País Vasco/Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea, Barrio Sarriena s/n, 48940 Leioa, Spain. erik.isasmendi@ehu.eus.

[2]Instituto Dom Luiz, Facultade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Campo Grande, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal. efmalafaia@ciencias.ulisboa.pt

[3]Grupo de Biología Evolutiva, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia (UNED), Avenida Esparta s/n, 28323 Las Rozas de Madrid, Spain.

68 pag. (text + references); 9 figs.; 1 table

Running Header: ISASMENDI AND MALAFAIA: ABELISAURID REMAINS FROM THE IBERIAN PENINSULA

Short Description: A revision of theropod remains from Ibero-Armorica, now identified as belonging to Abelisauridae, contributes to elucidating abelisaurid paleobiodiversity during the Late Cretaceous.

Corresponding author: Erik Isasmendi. erik.isasmendi@ehu.eus

Abstract. Abelisaurids were medium- to large-bodied theropod dinosaurs that inhabited Gondwana and Europe, even becoming the apex predators in the latest Cretaceous ecosystems of many of these areas. European abelisaurids remain elusive, but their remains are becoming increasingly abundant in the Late Cretaceous deposits of Ibero-Armorica. In this work, systematic, morphometric, and cladistic analyses of tooth samples from the Campanian–Maastrichian deposits of three localities (Chera 2, Montrebei and Viso) from the Iberian Peninsula have allowed these elements to be reassigned to abelisaurids. The specimen from Chera 2 is assigned to *Arcovenator* sp. whereas teeth from Montrebei and Viso are classified as Abelisauridae indet. The latter represents the first confirmed abelisaurid remain from the Cretaceous of Portugal. The axial remains identified as belonging to *Rhabdodon* from the Laño site are here attributed to cf. *Arcovenator*. These findings indicate that abelisaurids were the only apex terrestrial predators and among the most abundant theropods in the Late Cretaceous faunas of the Ibero-Armorican landmass. The reclassification of mid- to large-sized isolated teeth from Ibero-Armorica as abelisaurids, rather than carcharodontosaurids or closely related forms, suggests that Abelisauridae had already become the dominant apex theropod lineage by the Cenomanian. The abelisauroid fossil record in Ibero-Armorica spans from the Albian to the latest Maastrichtian, indicating a complex and temporally extensive presence. Despite most of the specimens being fragmentary, the available evidence supports the persistence and diversification of abelisaurids across the Ibero-Armorican domain, with multiple evolutionary lineages arising either from a possible Albian stock or resulting from successive dispersals, followed by insular diversification throughout the Late Cretaceous.

Keywords. Dinosaur. Ceratosauria. Abelisaurid. Late Cretaceous. Iberian Peninsula. Paleobiogeography.

Resumen. NUEVOS APORTES AL CONOCIMIENTO DE ABELISAURIDAE (THEROPODA, DINOSAURIA) DEL CRETÁCICO SUPERIOR IBEROARMÓRICANO. Los abelisáuridos fueron dinosaurios terópodos de tamaño medio a grande que habitaron Gondwana y Europa, llegando incluso a convertirse en los depredadores ápice en los ecosistemas del Cretácico final de muchas de estas regiones. Los restos europeos de abelisáuridos siguen siendo limitados, pero sus restos están comenzando a ser más abundantes en los depósitos del Cretácico Superior de Ibero-Armorica. En este trabajo, los análisis sistemáticos, morfométricos y cladísticos de muestras de dientes del Campaniense–Maastrichtiense de tres localidades (Chera 2, Montrebei y Viso) de la Península Ibérica han permitido reasignar estos elementos a Abelisauridae. El espécimen de Chera 2 se asigna a *Arcovenator* sp., mientras que los dientes de Montrebei y Viso se clasifican como Abelisauridae indet. Este último representa el primer resto confirmado de abelisáurido del Cretácico de Portugal. Los restos axiales identificados anteriormente como pertenecientes a *Rhabdodon* procedentes del yacimiento de Laño se atribuyen aquí a cf. *Arcovenator*. El registro fósil muestra que los abelisáuridos fueron los únicos depredadores terrestres dominantes y uno de los grupos de terópodos más abundantes en las faunas del Cretácico Superior de Ibero-Armórica. La reclasificación de dientes aislados de tamaño medio a grande como pertenecientes a abelisáuridos, en lugar de a carcarodontosáuridos u otros grupos cercanos, sugiere que Abelisauridae ya se había convertido en el grupo ápice de grandes depredadores hacia el Cenomaniense. El registro de abelisauroideos en Ibero-Armórica abarca desde el Albiense hasta el Maastrichtiense final, lo que indica una presencia compleja y prolongada en el tiempo de este grupo de terópodos. A pesar de la naturaleza fragmentaria de la mayoría de los restos, la evidencia apunta a la persistencia y diversificación de los abelisáuridos en el dominio Ibero-Armoricano, con múltiples

linajes evolutivos surgidos a partir de un posible stock albiense o de dispersiones sucesivas, que experimentaron una diversificación a lo largo del Cretácico Tardío en Europa.

Palabras clave. Dinosaurio. Ceratosauria. Abelisáurido. Cretácico Tardío. Península ibérica. Paleobiogeografía.

ABELISAURIDAE comprises medium- to large-bodied (5–9 m in length) ceratosaurian theropods characterized by deep, heavily sculptured skulls with bony protuberances, and short, rounded snouts (Bonaparte, 1991; Wilson et al., 2003; Carrano and Sampson, 2008; Canale et al., 2009; Pol and Rauhut, 2012; Cerroni et al., 2022; Amudeo-Plaza et al., 2023). They are recovered as a sister clade to the smaller-bodied Noasauridae within Abelisauroidea (e.g., Novas, 1991; Bonaparte, 1991, 1996; Novas et al., 2013, Baiano et al., 2021). The oldest abelisaurid remains are from the Jurassic of South America and include an isolated abelisaurid tooth from the Late Jurassic of Uruguay (Soto et al., 2022) and a relatively complete skeleton of the putative early-branching abelisaurid *Eoabelisaurus mefi* from the Lower Jurassic of Patagonia (Pol and Rauhut, 2012). Despite being present throughout the Cretaceous of Europe and Gondwana, abelisaurids only became the dominant apex predators after carcharodontosaurids and spinosaurids declined and/or went extinct in the mid Cretaceous (Hendrickx et al., 2015a and references therein). However, they may have been more abundant than other inland predators (Sales et al., 2016). After the Cenomanian–Turonian transition, abelisaurids started to dominate the western European and Gondwanan landmasses (Candeiro and Martinelli, 2005; Carrano et al., 2012; Novas et al., 2013; Tortosa et al., 2014; Csiki-Sava et al., 2015; Hendrickx et al., 2015a).

The European abelisaurid record has significantly increased over the last decades (e.g., Allain and Pereda-Suberbiola, 2003; Buffetaut, 2005; Ősi et al., 2010; Ősi and Buffetaut, 2011; Tortosa et al., 2014; Csiki-Sava et al., 2015; Pérez-García et al., 2016; Isasmendi et al., 2022, 2024; Malafaia et al., 2025). The oldest definitive European abelisauroid is currently *Genusaurus sisteronis* from the Albian of Provence, southern France. Nevertheless, its phylogenetic position remains unresolved, having been recovered as a noasaurid (e.g., Carrano and Sampson, 2008), an early-branching

abelisaurid (e.g., Tortosa et al., 2014; Baiano et al., 2023), or a later branching abelisaurid more related to Furileusauria (Buffetaut et al., 2024; Buffetaut, 2025). *Caletodraco cottardi* is the second abelisaurid from the mid Cretaceous of France. It is based on a partial skeleton likely recovered from lower Cenomanian deposits in northwestern France and identified as a furileusaurian brachyrostran (Buffetaut et al., 2024). *Tarascosaurus salluvicus* was the first abelisaurid reported of lower Campanian deposits in southern France (Le Loeuff and Buffetaut, 1991) and despite its fragmentary nature and some authors considering it as a *nomen dubium* (Rauhut, 2003, Allain and Pereda-Suberbiola, 2003), this taxon was recovered amongst Abelisauridae in the phylogenetic analyses performed by Tortosa et al. (2014). Other material from the Iberian Peninsula, such as the Laño femora, have been compared with *Tarascosaurus* (Le Loeuff and Buffetaut, 1991), even though there is no conclusive evidence to support this attribution (Isasmendi et al., 2022; Malafaia et al., 2025). The best-known European abelisaurid is *Arcovenator escotae* from the upper Campanian of southern France, which has been recovered within Majungasaurinae (Tortosa et al., 2014). The holotype of *Arcovenator* is composed of a partial skeleton including cranial, axial and appendicular material. Additional isolated teeth and caudal vertebrae from other horizons and areas of the type locality have also been referred to this taxon. Furthermore, other skeletal elements from the Upper Cretaceous of France and the Iberian Peninsula have been assigned to this taxon (Tortosa et al., 2014; Pérez-García et al., 2016; Isasmendi et al., 2022; Malafaia et al., 2025). First regarded as Ceratosauria indet. by Carrano and Sampson (2008), *Betasuchus bredai*, from Maastrichtian strata of the Netherlands, was finally recovered as an abelisauroid more closely related to *Tarascosaurus* by Tortosa et al. (2014) and currently represents the latest record of the clade in Europe (Csiki-Sava et al., 2015). Other than abelisaurids, theropod remains

from the uppermost Cretaceous Ibero-Armorican mainly belong to small-sized coelurosaurians (e.g., Antunes and Sigogneau-Russell, 1991, 1992; Allain and Taquet, 2000; Garcia et al., 2000; Laurent et al., 2002; Laurent, 2003; Ortega et al., 2015; Torices et al., 2015; Marmi et al., 2016; Puertólas-Pascual et al., 2018; Isasmendi et al., 2022, 2024; Santos Brilhante et al., 2022; Malafaia et al., 2023) whereas the majority of fossils from large bodied theropods have been either identified as indeterminate theropods (Antunes and Sigogneau-Russell, 1992; Laurent, 2003; Weishampel et al., 2004; Company, 2005; Ortega et al., 2015; Torices et al., 2015; Pérez-García et al., 2016), tetanurans (Carrano et al., 2012), or ornithomimosaurs (Pereda-Suberbiola et al., 2000).

This study aims to review the large-bodied theropod material from several uppermost Cretaceous Iberian sites (Chera 2, Laño, Montebrei and Viso), which comprises isolated teeth and some axial elements, including a previously undescribed caudal vertebra. Aside from the systematic studies, morphometric and cladistic analyses were performed on the revised dental elements and the presence of Abelisauridae in Ibero-Armorica is evaluated.

Institutional abbreviations. DPM, Departamento de Paleontología de Madrid, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Spain; **IIPG**, Instituto de Investigación en Paleobiología y Geología, General Roca, Argentina; **MA**, Musée d'Angoulême, Angoulême, France; **MACN**, Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales 'Bernardino Rivadavia', Buenos Aires, Argentina; **MAU**, Museo Municipal "Argentino Urquiza", Neuquén, Argentina; **MCNA,** Museo de Ciencias Naturales de Álava/Arabako Natura Zientzien Museoa, Vitoria/Gasteiz, Spain; **MG**, Museu Geológico, Lisbon, Portugal; **MPCA**, Museo Provincial Carlos Ameghino, Cipolletti, Argentina; **MPCN**, Museo

Patagónico de Ciencias Naturales, General Roca, Argentina; **MPEF**, Museo Paleontológico Egidio Feruglio, Chubut, Argentina; **MPZ**, Museo de Ciencias Naturales de la Universidad de Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain; **UCPC**, Paleontology Collection of the University of Chicago, Chicago, USA; **UPUAM**, Unidad de Paleontología, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain.

Anatomical abbreviations. cdl, centrodiapophyseal lamina; **cv**, cervix; **dc**, distal carina; **dl**, dentine layer; **dt**, denticle; **fch**, facet for chevron; **iet**, irregular enamel-texture; **mc**, mesial carina; **ns**, neural spine; **pc**, pulp cavity; **poz**, postzygapophysis; **tp**, transverse process; **tu**, transverse undulation; **vg**, ventral groove.

GEOGRAPHICAL AND GEOLOGICAL SETTINGS

The skeletal elements here studied were recovered from four Iberian fossil sites namely, Chera 2, Laño, and Montebrei from Spain, and Viso from Portugal. The Chera 2 site is situated near the locality of Chera in the province of Valencia (Valencian Community), about 60 km west of the city of Valencia, in the eastern part of the Iberian Peninsula. The Laño site is located about 30 km south of the city of Vitoria/Gasteiz, in an abandoned sand quarry (the Laño quarry) between the towns of Laño and Albaina (County of Treviño), in the northern Iberian Peninsula. The Montrebei locality is located around 25 km southwest of the Tremp locality, east of the Congost de Montrebei, in the Alsamora municipality (Lleida, northeastern Iberian Peninsula). Finally, the locality of Viso is situated in the Montemor-o-Velho region (Coimbra), at km 20 of the railway (Sauvage 1897–1898), in the western part of the Iberian Peninsula (Fig. 1.1).

Geologically, the Chera 2 site is located in the Chera Basin (southwestern Iberian Range), specifically in the Sierra Perenchiza Formation (Fm) (e.g., Company, 2005;

Company and Szentesi, 2012) (Fig. 1.1). Even though this lithostratigraphic unit reaches a maximum thickness of 300 m, it is only 50 m thick in the Chera area. It comprises lagoonal micritic and brecciated limestones at the base, which transition upwards into palustrine carbonates with evidence of pedogenic alterations towards the top (Platt, 1989; Alonso et al., 1991; Company, 2005). This formation has been interpreted as a continental, shallow carbonate environment (Vilas et al., 1982) with shallow, low-salinity water bodies at the base (Platt and Wright, 1992) and restricted, ephemeral coastal carbonate lakes and ponds towards the top (Company, 2005). In this context, the Chera 2 site is thought to have formed in an isolated pond that developed in floodplains, where the fossils were transported after high-energy flows (Company, 2005). Peyrot et al. (2020) suggested a late Campanian–?early Maastrichtian age for the Chera site based on palynological studies; however, Company et al. (2005) proposed a late Campanian age for it (Fig. 1.2).

The Laño site is located in the Northern Castilian Ramp of the Basque-Cantabrian Basin, specifically on the southern margin of the Miranda-Treviño Syncline (Pereda-Suberbiola et al., 2015; Corral et al., 2016) (Fig. 1.1). In the Laño quarry, the Sedano Fm crops out (Berreteaga, 2008; Corral et al., 2016). This formation mainly comprises siliciclastic deposits that can be divided into two units: (1) a lower unit consisting of marine marls and clays and (2) an upper unit composed of silty quartzarenites with interbedded dolomitized limestone layers (Floquet et al., 1982). The Sedano Fm represents a littoral environment, with a siliciclastic sequence in its upper part formed by deltaic aggradation in the subtidal and intertidal areas, prior to the progradation of the delta plain (Corral et al., 2016; Martín-Chivelet et al., 2019). At the Laño quarry, the Sedano Fm is 22 m thick and comprises two different units: (1) the basal unit, which begins with lag deposits of siliciclastic gravel overlain by different sandstone packs

with conglomeratic and erosive bases, and (2) the upper unit, which is mainly composed of almost unconsolidated clayey sandstones. The fossils studied herein come from the L1A and L2 vertebrate-bearing beds. Astibia et al. (1990) and Pereda-Suberbiola et al. (2000) interpreted the Laño area as a braided river system, where channels, sandbars and pools developed. Corral et al. (2016) dated the continental vertebrate site of Laño to the late Campanian (chron C32n, 72–73.5 Ma) based on the combination of lithostratigraphic and magnetostratigraphic analyses (Fig. 1.2).

The fossil locality of Montebrei is located in the South Pyrenean Basin, precisely in the eastern Tremp Syncline (Fondevilla et al., 2016, 2019) (Fig. 1.1). The latter is the largest of the various sub-basins formed by the compartmentalization of the synform syntectonic sub-basins (Fondevilla et al., 2016). The uppermost Cretaceous and Paleocene lithostratigraphic units crop out in the Tremp Syncline, encompassing marine, transitional, and continental deposits. These include the middle Campanian–Maastrichtian Arén Fm and the Maastrichtian–Paleocene Tremp Fm (Mey et al., 1968; Nagtegaal et al., 1983; Mutti and Sgavetti, 1987). The latter overlays and laterally transitions into the Arén Fm (Ardevol et al., 2000; Fondevilla et al., 2016). The Tremp Fm has been informally divided into four units: the ‘Grey Garumnian’, the ‘Lower Red Garumnian’, the ‘Vallcebre limestones and equivalents’, and the ‘Upper Red Garumnian’ (Rosell et al., 2001; Perez-Pueyo et al., 2021). The Montrebei fossil locality is situated within the ‘Grey Garumnian’, specifically in La Posa Formation (Torices Hernández, 2002; Torices et al., 2015; Fondevilla et al., 2019). This unit consists of grey marls and mudstones intercalated with sandstones, limestones, and occasional coal beds, containing mixed marine and freshwater invertebrate faunas. It has been interpreted as representing transitional environments such as lagoons, tidal mudflats, and marshes (Eichenseer, 1988; Rosell et al., 2001; Díez-Canseco et al., 2014; Oms et

al., 2016). The ‘Grey Garumnian’ is dated to the latest Campanian–Maastrichtian (Díez-Canseco et al., 2014; Vicente et al., 2015, 2016; Fondevilla et al., 2016; Puertolas-Pascual et al., 2018) and the Montrebei fossil site dates more precisely to the ‘mid’ early Maastrichtian (C31r) (Fondevilla et al., 2019) (Fig. 1.2).

The vertebrate-bearing site of Viso is found in the Lusitanian Basin, either in the “Sandstones and Mudstones of Viso” of Barbosa et al. (2008) or Viso Fm of Malafaia et al. (2024) (Fig. 1.1). In the latter, a sandstone sequence is predominant, but mudstones, which have been dated to the Campanian–Maastrichtian, based on palynological studies, also crop out (Barbosa et al., 2008) (Fig. 1.2).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Geographic and stratigraphic context of the material

The studied material consists of three isolated teeth from the Chera 2 site (CH 168), Montrebei (DPM-MON-T10 1) and Viso (MG 73), and two vertebrae from the Laño site (MCNA 8366 and 17433). MCNA 8366 comes from the L1A vertebrate-bearing bed, and MCNA 17433 was recovered from the L2 fossiliferous level. The specimens are in three separate institutions namely, the Universidad Complutense de Madrid of Spain (DPM-MON-T10 1), Museu Geológico of Lisbon, Portugal (MG 73), and the Arabako Natura Zientzien Museoa/Museo de Ciencias Naturales de Álava of Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain (MCNA 8366 and 17433). The tooth CH 168 was studied based on photographs and a cast.

Historically, DPM-MON-T10 1 was considered as the tooth of an indeterminate theropod by Torices et al. (2015), before being assigned to an indeterminate Abelisauridae by Isasmendi et al. (2024). It should be noted that this specimen never received a proper description. The tooth MG 73 was first attributed to *Megalosaurus* sp.

by Sauvage (1897–1898) at the end of the 19th century, then to *Megalosaurus* cf. *pannoniensis* by Lapparent and Zbyszewski (1957), and recently to Theropoda indet. by Malafaia et al. (2024). The neural arch MCNA 8366 was originally assigned to *Rhabdodon* by Pereda-Suberbiola and Sanz (1999), while the vertebra MCNA 17433 (previously labeled as MCNA 14077) was tentatively identified as Abelisauridae indet. by Isasmendi et al. (2021). Finally, the tooth CH 168 was referred to as Theropoda indet. by Company (2005), as ?Neoceratosauria indet. by Company et al. (2009) and as cf. *Arcovenator* by Isasmendi et al. (2022).

Comparative methodology and terminology for the isolated teeth. Unlike the postcranial remains, which was studied first-hand, the isolated teeth were studied under a stereo microscope (Nikon® SMZ645) and through first-hand observations. Crown-based measurements were taken using a digital caliper (Juning®), while denticle-based measurements were taken either under the stereo microscope or with the Imagej software (v.1.51j8). The study of the isolated teeth follows the anatomical, positional, directional and morphometric nomenclature proposed by Smith and Dodson (2003), Smith et al. (2005), and Hendrickx et al. (2015b).

Measurements taken on the isolated teeth. The following measurements were taken on the studied sample based on Currie et al. (1990), Smith et al. (2005), and Hendrickx et al.'s (2015b, 2020) methodology (see Supplementary material 1): crown base length (**CBL**), crown base width (**CBW**), mid-crown length (**MCL**), mid-crown width (**MCW**), crown height (**CH**), apical length (**AL**), extent of the mesiobasal denticles (**MDE**), length of the mesial serrated carina (**MSL**), distoapical denticle density (**DA**), distobasal denticle density (**DB**), distocentral denticle density (**DC**), mesioapical denticle density (**MA**), mesiobasal denticle density (**MB**), mesiocentral denticle density (**MC**), mesial denticle length (**MDL**), and distal denticle length (**DDL**). In addition, the

crown base ratio (**CBR**; as CBW/CBL), crown height ratio (**CHR**; as CH/CBL), mid-crown ratio (**MCR**; as MCW/MCL), mesial angle of the crown (**CMA**), distal angle of crown (**CDA**), average mesial denticle density (**MAVG**; as (MA+MC+MB)/ 3), average distal denticle density (**DAVG**; as (DA+DC+DB)/ 3), and denticle size density index (**DSDI**; as MC/DC) were calculated. The MCA and DCA were calculated using the following equation (Smith, 2007; Serrano-Martínez et al., 2015):

$CMA = arcos\frac{CBL^2+AL^2-CH^2}{2\times CBL\times AL}$ and $CDA = arcos\frac{CBL^2+CH^2-AL^2}{2\times CBL\times AL}$

Linear discriminant analyses (LDA) on the isolated teeth. The classification of the isolated teeth was supported by several linear discriminant analyses performed using PAST v.4.05 (Hammer et al., 2001). When performing the LDAs, variables expressed as ratios (CBR, CHR and MCR) as well as the crown angles (CDA and CMA) were excluded, as these are not independent but weighted variables (Hendrickx et al., 2015b). Furthermore, to ensure that all variables were metric-based, measurements of denticle length (MLD and DDL) were used instead of denticle densities, in accordance with the approach of Hendrickx et al. (2020). Following Malafaia et al. (2025), the number of flutes on the labial and lingual surfaces (**LAF** and **LIF**, respectively) were not included in the analyses, as there are non-metric variables. Therefore, a total of 10 variables were used in the performed LDAs, as Malafaia et al. (2025). These variables are: CBL, CBW, CH, AL, MCL, MCW, MDE, MSL, MDL, and DDL. To perform the LDAs, the database and a similar methodology proposed by Malafaia et al. (2025) were used (see Supplementary material 1). Prior to conducting the morphometric analyses, the data were normalized using a Log (x+1) transformation for all variables to avoid zero values. In addition, as proposed by Young et al. (2019) and Hendrickx et al. (2020), an arbitrary value of 100 denticles per five millimeters was used for those specimens with non-denticulated carinae. Finally, specimens studied herein were not assigned to any

predefined group for the LDAs and were instead treated as belonging to an "unknown taxon".

Firstly, two linear discriminant analysis, one at the taxon level and another at the clade level, were carried out using a database comprising 528 teeth with the data from Hendrickx et al. (2020) (Database 1; Supplementary material 1) belonging to twenty-four Cretaceous taxa (twenty-two genera, and two indeterminate family-level clades), with the aim of minimizing potential noise (see Malafaia et al., 2025; Supplementary material 1). This dataset gathers dental measurements from one non-abelisauroid ceratosaurian (*Genyodectes*), nine abelisaurids (*Abelisaurus*, *Arcovenator*, *Aucasaurus*, *Carnotaurus*, *Chenanisaurus*, '*Indosuchus*', *Majungasaurus*, *Skorpiovenator*, and Abelisauridae indet.), three spinosaurids (*Baryonyx*, *Suchomimus* and Spinosaurinae indet.), one neovenatorid (*Neovenator*), two megaraptorans (*Australovenator* and *Fukuiraptor*), five carcharodontosaurids (*Acrocanthosaurus*, *Carcharodontosaurus*, *Eocarcharia*, *Giganotosaurus*, and *Mapusaurus*), and six tyrannosaurids (*Albertosaurus*, *Alioramus*, *Daspletosaurus*, *Gorgosaurus*, *Tyrannosaurus* and *Zhuchengtyrannus*).

Afterwards, two additional LDAs were performed, one at taxon level and another at clade level, excluding the groups that plotted well-separated from the herein studied teeth (Database 2; Supplementary material 1), as these were considered not closely related. The excluded specimens belonged to all spinosaurids, as well as the tyrannosaurids *Daspletosaurus*, *Tyrannosaurus* and *Zhuchengtyrannus*, and the carcharodontosaurid *Carcharodontosaurus*.

Finally, a fifth LDA was performed with the third database (Database 3; Supplementary material 1) compiled by Malafaia et al. (2025), which includes Ibero-Armorican abelisaurids or specimens classified among Abelisauridae. These consist of the

indeterminate abelisaurids from the Western Tremp Syncline (South Pyrenean Basin) (Isasmendi et al., 2024), the abelisaurid teeth closely related to *Arcovenator* from Poyos (Malafaia et al., 2025), the specimens referred to cf. *Arcovenator* from Armuña (Pérez-García et al., 2016), and the *Arcovenator* specimens from Jas Neuf Sud and Laño (Tortosa et al., 2014; Hendrickx et al., 2020; Isasmendi et al., 2022). In this analysis, the isolated teeth of an indeterminate tetanuran from Iharkút (Ősi et al., 2010) were excluded from the dataset.

Cladistic analysis. A cladistic analysis was performed based on the dentition-based matrix (146 morphological dental characters) of Hendrickx et al. (2020), into which we scored the isolated teeth MG 73, CH 168, and DPM-MON-T10 1 (see Supplementary material 2). The positive constraints defined by Hendrickx et al. (2020) were applied in these analyses to recover a topology consistent with the most recent phylogenetic hypotheses. Additionally, to test the phylogenetic affinities of the studied specimens within the Abelisauridae clade, we constrained their placement and evaluated the additional steps required to achieve that position. The phylogenetic analysis was carried out using TNT 1.6 (Goloboff and Morales, 2023). The studied specimens were scored using Mesquite 3.7 (Maddison and Maddison, 2011) and subsequently imported into TNT. The search strategy follows the protocol used by Hendrickx et al. (2020), beginning with a combination of the tree-search algorithms, including Wagner trees, TBR branch swapping, sectorial searches, Ratchet (with the perturbation phase stopped after 20 substitutions), and Tree Fusing (5 rounds), until 100 hits of the same minimum tree length were achieved. The best trees recovered were subjected to a final round of TBR branch swapping. Zero-length branches in any of the recovered most parsimonious trees were collapsed. Consistency (CI) and retention (RI) indexes were obtained using the STATS.RUN command.

SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY

Suborder THEROPODA Marsh, 1881

Infraorder CERATOSAURIA Marsh, 1884

Family ABELISAURIDAE Bonaparte and Novas, 1985

Abelisauridae indet.

Figure 2

Morphotype 1

Referred material. DPM-MON-T10 1, one isolated mesial tooth (Fig. 2.1–2.7).

Geographic occurrence. Montrebei (Lleida, Spain).

Stratigraphic occurrence. ‘Grey Garumnian’ strata of the Tremp Formation; La Posa Formation; ‘mid’ early Maastrichtian (C31r) (Torices Hernández, 2002; Torices et al., 2015; Fondevilla et al., 2019).

Description. DPM-MON-T10 1 consists of a crown that lacks its basal portion and the apex (Fig. 2.2–2.5). It is here interpreted as a mesial tooth, based on its symmetrical ‘D’-shaped cross-section, relatively thick crown, and asymmetrical labial and lingual surfaces (Hendrickx et al., 2015b, 2020). No denticles are complete, but the bases of the mesial denticles and some distal denticle bases are preserved (Fig. 2.1). The enamel is also largely missing, but a patch is preserved on the mesioapical part of the labial surface (Fig. 2.6). The crown is ziphodont, not very compressed labiolingually and quite distally curved, with its apicalmost part extending beyond the basodistalmost point of the crown (Fig. 2.2–2.5). The mesial margin of the crown is strongly convex, and the distal margin is concave in lateral view (Fig. 2.5). In distal view, the labial surface is

convex, and the lingual one is mostly straight, making the crown slightly lingually tilted (Fig. 2.4). The lingual and labial surface are convex, but the lingual surface becomes flatter close to the distal carina. The basalmost cross-section of the preserved crown is nearly subsymmetrical ‘D’-shaped, whereas the mid cross-section is lanceolate (Fig. 2.7).

Both mesial and distal carinae are present and denticulated, but because the basal part of the crown is not preserved, their entire extension cannot be assessed. The mesial carina is mesiolingually oriented and straight; it is centered apically but slightly displaces lingually toward the base (Fig. 2.2). On the other hand, the distal carina is straight and strongly labially deflected (Fig. 2.4). The mesial denticle density is 10 denticles per five mm apically and at mid crown and there is no random variation of denticle-size.

The preserved enamel surface is slightly polished and exhibits micro-scratches. The enamel-texture is subtle, non-oriented, and irregular (Fig. 2.6).

Morphotype 2

Referred material. MG 73, one isolated lateral tooth (Fig. 2.8–2.12).

Geographic occurrence. Viso locality, region of Montemor-o-Velho, Coimbra, Portugal (Sauvage, 1897-1998; Malafaia et al., 2024).

Stratigraphic occurrence. Viso Formation (also known as Sandstones and Mudstones of Viso); Campanian–Maastrichtian (Barbosa et al., 2008; Malafaia et al., 2024).

Description. MG 73 consists of a lateral tooth crown that lacks the apex and a fragment of the root (Fig. 2.8–2.12). It is interpreted as a lateral tooth based on its symmetrical cross-section outline and low CBR value (see Hendrickx et al., 2015b, 2019, 2020). The enamel layer is eroded on its lingual surface (Fig. 2.11), and the mesial carina is almost entirely abraded (Fig. 2.8). The distal carina is also poorly preserved but the bases of the

denticles are visible along the carina and the basal denticles are relatively well preserved (Fig. 2.10–2.11).

This crown is relatively elongate, ziphodont, and strongly labiolingually flattened (Fig. 2.8–2.12), with a CBR of 0.58 (CBL=12 mm and CBW=7 mm). The crown is distally curved, but it is not possible to determine whether the apex would have extended beyond its basodistalmost point (Fig. 2.11). In lateral view, the mesial margin is convex, while the distal margin is slightly concave, becoming straighter toward the base (Fig. 2.11). In distal view, the lingual surface is slightly concave, and the labial surface is convex, suggesting that the apex was slightly tilted lingually (Fig. 2.10). There is no concave surface adjacent to the carinae, but the lingual surface becomes flat near the distal carina (Fig. 2.9). The basal cross-section of the crown is lanceolate (Malafaia et al., 2024) and the cross-section of the root is oval (Fig. 2.12). No basal constriction is present between crown and root.

The distal carina reaches the cervix and the distal denticles are present along the entire preserved length (Fig. 2.10). Denticles also appear to be present on the mesial carina, but only their bases are visible at mid-crown (Fig. 2.8). The full extent of the mesial carina cannot be determined (Malafaia et al., 2024). The distal carina is centrally located and slightly bowed whereas the mesial carina is more lingually positioned and straight (Fig. 2.8 and 2.10). There is no random variation in denticle size along the distal carina and the denticles decrease in size towards the base. Only the distal denticle densities could be measured. The DC value is 20 denticles per five millimeters, and the DB is 22.5 denticles per five millimeters. The basal denticles are sub-quadrangular with slightly convex external margins and are separated by narrow interdenticular spaces. The denticles are poorly preserved at mid-crown, with only the bases of some visible. These are also separated by narrow interdenticular spaces and seem slightly longer

apicobasally than mesiodistally. However, because the external end is not preserved, this morphology cannot be confirmed. Interdenticular sulci are not visible adjacent to either the distal or mesial carinae. Some subtle transverse undulations are present on the enamel of the lingual surface of the crown (Fig. 2.9). The enamel texture is subtle, non-oriented, and irregular (Malafaia et al., 2024).

Genus ***Arcovenator*** Tortosa, Buffetaut, Vialle, Dutour, Turini and Cheylan, 2014

Cf. *Arcovenator* sp.

Figure 3–4

Referred material. MCNA 8366, an anterior dorsal vertebra; MCNA 17433, an anterior to middle caudal vertebra.

Geographic occurrence. Laño site, Treviño County, Spain.

Stratigraphic occurrence. Sedano Formation; upper Campanian **(**C32n) (Corral et al., 2016).

Description.

Axial skeleton.

Dorsal vertebra (Fig. 3.1–3.4). MCNA 8366 comprises the neural arch of an anterior dorsal vertebra (probably a D1 or D2, based on O'Connor, 2007). This neural arch is covered by an iron patina and lacks both prezygapophyses (Fig. 3.1–3.4). The neural spine and the left transverse process are badly damaged, with only their bases preserved. The right transverse process is laterally projected and horizontal but slightly inclined anteriorly, forming an angle of less than 20º relative to the horizontal plane (Fig. 3.1–3.4). It measures 46 mm in length and is developed in the anterior half of the vertebra. In dorsal view, the right transverse process expands anteroposteriorly towards the diapophysis, making it fan-shaped in this view (Fig. 3.4). The posterior edge of the

transverse process is straight, and its anterior margin is convex. In lateral view, the diapophysis is D-shaped and faces ventrolaterally (Fig. 3.2). The base of the neural spine is mediolaterally narrow anteriorly and broadens posteriorly. It extends posteriorly beyond the bases of the prezygapophyses, almost reaching the posterior margin of the postzygapophyses (Fig. 3.4). The postzygapophyses face ventrolaterally, are subcircular in outline, and appear to overhang the centrum (Fig. 3.1 and 3.2). The presence or absence of a hyposphyene-hypantrum articulation cannot be determined (Fig. 3.1).

Caudal vertebrae (Fig. 3.5–3.8). MCNA 17433 consists of the centrum and the base of the neural arch of an anterior to middle caudal vertebra (posterior to C5 due to its straighter anterior and posterior margins, based on Méndez, 2014), which are slightly mediolaterally compressed due to deformation (Fig. 3.5–3.8). The centrum and neural arch are almost fully fused, with the suture line being almost indiscernible (Fig. 3.7). The centrum is amphicoelous, spool-shaped, and slightly elongated (Fig. 3.5–3.8). MCNA 17433 measures 79 mm in anteroposterior length. Its anterior articular surface is 57 mm in height and 46 mm in width, while the posterior articular surface is 64 mm in height and 46 mm in width. Both the anterior and posterior articular surfaces are elliptical (Fig. 3.6 and 3.8). In lateral view, the anterior and posterior surfaces are straight, and the ventral margin is concave (Fig. 3.7). The centrum is medially compressed and exhibits relatively shallow pleurocentral depressions. However, the base of the neural arch is wider than the mid-centrum. The ventral surface of the centrum features an anteroposterior longitudinal groove, which is laterally delimited by a ridge on each side (Fig. 3.5). In the same view, the posterior end of the centrum exhibits quite prominent facets for articulation with the haemal arches, making the posterior end of the centrum more ventrally projected (Fig. 3.5).

The preserved portion of the neural arch includes the bases of the transverse processes (Fig. 3.5 and 3.7), which are centrally located and ventrally positioned in the neural arch. These processes are relatively thin and sheet-like and the preserved parts taper laterally. The ventral surface of the left transverse process exhibits a possible broad but not very protruding centrodiapophyseal lamina (Fig. 3.5 and 3.7).

***Arcovenator* sp.**

Figure 4

Referred material. CH 168, one isolated lateral tooth.

Geographic occurrence. Chera, Valencia, Spain.

Stratigraphic occurrence. Sierra Perenchiza Formation; upper Campanian (Company, 2005; Company et al., 2005).

Description. CH 168 preserves the crown and part of the root. It is interpreted as a lateral tooth based on its symmetrical cross-section outline and low CBR value (see Hendrickx et al., 2015b, 2019, 2020). The base of the crown is distally damaged, and fractures are present throughout the crown (Fig. 4). Parts of the carinae are also missing. An apically located elliptical wear facet is present on the labial surface (Fig. 4.5). The crown is ziphodont, labiolingually compressed, and distally curved. The apex extends slightly beyond the basodistalmost point of the crown (Fig. 4). In lateral view, the mesial margin is convex, while the distal margin is concave apically, becoming straighter toward the base (Fig. 4.3 and 4.5). In distal view, the lingual surface is straight basally and convex apically whereas the labial margin is convex basally and becomes straighter apically (Fig. 4.4). Both labial and lingual surfaces are convex, with no concave surfaces adjacent to any carinae. The lingual margin becomes more planar near the base of the distal carina. The basal cross-section of the crown is oval to

lanceolate (Fig. 4.6), and the mid cross-section is lenticular. The cross-section of the root is more oval, with no basal constriction between the root and the crown.

The CBL measures 15.47 mm, whereas the CBW measures 9.88 mm, resulting in a CBR of 0.64. The CH is 30.02 mm, being the CHR of 1.94. The AL measures 33.52 mm.

Both mesial and distal carinae are denticulated (Fig. 4.1 and 4.8). The mesial carina is straight, centered apically and mesiolingually displaced toward the base of the crown. The mesial carina does not reach the cervix but extends from the apex to approximately two-thirds of the crown (Fig. 4.2). The distal carina reaches the cervix, and it is sigmoidal in distal view and labially deflected (Fig. 4.4).

The denticles are largest at mid-crown on the mesial carina and gradually decrease in size basally (Fig. 4.2, 4.3 and 4.5). The wear facet prevents from determining whether there was a denticle-size discrepancy apically. Nevertheless, the distal denticles are larger apically (Fig. 4.3–4.5). There is no random variation in denticle size along the carinae. The denticles are almost equal in size or slightly larger on the mesial carina, with a DSDI value of 1. The mesial denticle density is 15 mm per 5 mm, whereas the distal denticle density is 12 denticles per 5 mm apically, 15 denticles per 5 mm at mid-crown, and 17 denticles per 5 mm at the base. The outline of the denticles is symmetrically to slightly asymmetrically convex and perpendicular to the carinae (Fig. 4.1 and 4.8). The mesial denticles are mainly subquadrangular but become slightly apicobasally subrectangular apically (Fig. 4.1). The distal denticles, on the other hand, are mesiodistally subrectangular at mid-crown and basally, but almost subrectangular apically (Fig. 4.8). Subtle interdenticular sulci are present on both carinae. These are short, straight, and basally inclined.

A few transverse undulations are present on the crown, but they are particularly subtle. Subtle marginal undulations are similarly present on the labial surface of the crown, close to the distal carina. The enamel texture is non-oriented and irregular (Fig. 4.7).

RESULTS

Morphometric analyses

The discriminant analyses exhibit similar variance in their axes (Fig. 5 and 6). Axis 1 accounts for 42.45–55.62 % of the total variance, while Axis 2 explains 20.54–30.06 %. The reclassification rates obtained in the LDAs are relatively high, ranging from 63.42 to 75.85 %, and are significantly higher using the clade-level databases (see Supplementary material 1).

The classification of the specimens studied herein within Theropoda varies depending on the database and the linear discriminant analysis (Table 1). In LDA 1 (clade level, database 1), the tooth from Viso (MG 73) is classified as Abelisauridae (Jackknifed), the tooth from Chera 2 (CH 168) as a non-abelisauroid ceratosaurian (Jackknifed), and the Montrebei tooth (DPM-MON-T10 1) as Carcharodontosauridae (classification and Jackknifed). In LDA 2 (taxon level, database 1), MG 73 is classified as *Carnotaurus* (classification and Jackknifed), CH 168 as *Gorgosaurus* (Jackknifed), and DPM-MON-T10 1 as *Acrocanthosaurus* (classification and Jackknifed). In LDA 3 (clade level, database 2), MG 73 is classified as a megaraptoran (Jackknifed), CH 168 as a tyrannosaurid (classification and Jackknifed), and DPM-MON-T10 1 as a megaraptoran (Jackknifed). In LDA 4 (taxon level, database 2), MG 73 is again classified as *Carnotaurus* (classification and Jackknifed), CH 168 as *Gorgosaurus* (Jackknifed), and DPM-MON-T10 1 as *Aucasaurus* (classification and Jackknifed). Finally, the discriminant analysis performed using the Ibero-Armorican abelisaurid dental record

(Database 3) classifies MG 73 as *Arcovenator* (Jas Neuf Sud) (classification and Jackknifed), CH 168 as the indeterminate abelisaurid teeth from Poyos, which are closely related to *Arcovenator* (Jackknifed), and DPM-MON-T10 1 as Abelisauridae indet. 1 from the Western Tremp Syncline (Jackknifed) (Table 1).

Cladistic analyses

The cladistic analysis performed on the data matrix including MG 73 and using a constrained tree topology recovered a single most parsimonious tree (CI = 0.200; RI = 0.457; L = 1304). MG 73 is grouped with noasaurids within a well-resolved Abelisauroidea clade (Fig. 7.1). The specimen from Viso shares with other abelisauroids the spacing between mid-crown denticles on the distal carina, which is less than one-third of the denticle width (state 0; character 107) and the presence of a smooth or irregular (non-oriented) enamel-texture (state 0; character 121). The only synapomorphy found for Noasauridae that can be verified in MG 73 is the average number of mid-crown denticles per five millimeters on the distal carina (character 89), which ranges between 16 and 29 (state 1), whereas in abelisaurids it is usually lower, between 9 and 15 (state 2). Within Noasauridae, the specimen from Viso is positioned as the sister taxon to *Masiakasaurus*, based on the presence of tenuous transverse undulations on the crown (state 1; character 113). Forcing the specimen from Viso into the Abelisauridae clade recovered three most parsimonious trees, with the consensus tree (CI = 0.199; RI = 0.451) being four steps longer (L =1311) than that obtained when treating the specimens as floating taxon. Interestingly, constraining MG 73 within the group comprising *Arcovenator*, *Majungasaurus*, and *Indosuchus* yielded a single most parsimonious tree (CI = 0.200; RI = 0.453) and did not require additional steps (L = 1307) relative to the analysis with the specimens as floating taxon. However, no synapomorphies were recovered for this group in the analysis.

The analysis performed for specimens CH 168 recovered five most parsimonious trees (CI = 0.200; RI = 0.453; L = 1307) and the consensus tree (CI = 0.199; RI = 0.452; L = 1309) places this specimen within Coelurosauria, allied with the tyrannosauroids *Gorgosaurus*, *Raptorex*, *Alioramus*, *Tyrannosaurus*, and *Daspletosaurus* (Fig. 7.2). The analysis found the following tyrannosauroid synapomorphies present in the Chera 2 specimen: (i) crown height between 1 and 6 cm (state 1; character 69); (ii) the denticles on the mesial carina at two-thirds height of the crown have a subquadrangular shape (state 1; character 95); and (iii) mesial and distal denticles of similar size (0.8 < DSDI < 1.2) (state 0; character 105). However, all these features are also shared with most abelisaurids (Hendrickx et al. 2020). Forcing the specimen from Chera into the Abelisauridae clade recovered three most parsimonious trees (CI = 0.199; RI = 0.451; L = 1310), with the consensus tree (CI = 0.199; RI = 0.449) being five steps longer (L = 1314) than that obtained when treating the specimens as floating taxon. This analysis recovered the following abelisaurid synapomorphies present in CH 168: (i) subquadrangular shape of denticles at two-thirds height of the crown on mesial carina in lateral view (state 1; character 95) and (ii) horizontal subrectangular shape of mid-crown denticle on distal carina in lateral view (state 1; character 96).

Finally, the analysis performed for DPM-MONT-T10 1 recovered seven most parsimonious trees (CI = 0.200; RI = 0.454; L = 1305; consensus tree CI = 0.180; RI = 0.376; L = 1454). The strict consensus tree shows a poor resolution, with most taxa placed in a large polytomy (Fig. 8). Only a few clades such as Megalosauroidea, Abelisauridae, Allosauroidea and some coelurosaurian groups are better resolved. The most parsimonious trees from this analysis placed the specimen from Montrebei within the Paraves clade, sometimes allied with troodontids and other times with avialan taxa. Forcing the specimen into the Abelisauridae clade recovered a single most parsimonious

tree (CI = 0.199; RI = 0.452) and required four additional steps (L = 1309) relative to the most parsimonious trees obtained when treating the specimens as floating taxon. On the other hand, constraining its position within a group comprising *Arcovenator*, *Majungasaurus*, and *Indosuchus* also yielded a single most parsimonious tree (CI = 0.199; RI = 0.451) and required only one further step (L = 1310) compared to the previous analysis.

DISCUSSION

Comparisons and identification of the isolated teeth

Almost every morphometric analyses support the attribution of the MG 73 specimen to Abelisauridae, as this tooth consistently grouped within Abelisauridae, *Arcovenator*, or *Carnotaurus* in the LDAs (Table 1). The classification of CH 168 and DPM-MON-T10 1 is more ambiguous, as neither was grouped within Abelisauridae in any of the first three LDAs performed. Indeed, the CH 168 specimen was classified as a tyrannosaurid, a non-abelisauroid ceratosaurian, or *Gorgosaurus*, while DPM-MON-T10 was grouped within Carcharodontosauridae, Megaraptora, or *Acrocanthosaurus*. Nevertheless, DPM-MON-T10 was also grouped with Abelisauridae indet. morphotype 1 from the Western Tremp Syncline or *Aucasaurus*. Therefore, the morphometric analyses also strongly suggest abelisaurid affinities for this specimen. Regarding the cladistic analyses, the results do not support direct attribution of the teeth to Abelisauridae. The Viso specimen was recovered within Abelisauroidea, but more closely related to Noasauridae. Nevertheless, forcing the specimen into Abelisauridae, in a group comprising *Arcovenator*, *Majungasaurus*, and *Indosuchus*, does not require any additional steps, suggesting that this hypothesis is as parsimonious as the position recovered in the unconstrained analysis. The Chera 2 specimen was allied with Tyrannosauroidea.

However, all features found as synapomorphies for this clade are also shared with most abelisaurids. Forcing the specimen into Abelisauridae requires five additional steps compared to the length of the consensus tree recovered on the unconstrained analysis and one extra step to place it within the group comprising *Arcovenator*, *Majungasaurus*, and *Indosuchus*. The analysis performed for the Montrebei specimen yielded very poor resolution, with most taxa placed in a large polytomy. The most parsimonious trees placed the specimen within the Paraves clade and forcing its position into Abelisauridae required four additional steps. The lack of European abelisaurid dental and mandibular elements, along with the limited representation of European tooth data in the matrix, may account for the poor resolution of the cladistic analyses.

Abelisauridae indet.

Morphotype 1. The isolated tooth DPM-MON-T10 1 from Montrebei shares with Abelisauridae a ziphodont crown with a 'D'-shaped cross-section and the irregular, non-oriented enamel-texture (Hendrickx et al., 2019, 2020). Therefore, this tooth can be confidently assigned to Abelisauridae, which is also supported by the results of some morphometric analyses, despite the cladistic analysis not yielding sufficient resolution to test this attribution. Furthermore, the distal position of the apex and the profile of the distal margin are similar to the condition found in the distal teeth of the mesial dentition in abelisaurids, with the crown being more distally recurved (Hendrickx et al., 2020). Despite most abelisaurid taxa exhibiting a salinon-to J-shaped basal cross-section, specimen DPM-MON-T10 1 has a 'D'-shaped cross-section, as observed in *Rahiolisaurus* and MPCN-PV 69 (Novas et al., 2010; Gianechini et al., 2015; Hendrickx et al., 2020). The Montrebei tooth is particularly thick, a condition similarly present in the mesialmost teeth of several abelisaurid taxa such as *Chenanisaurus*,

Majungasaurus, and *Rahiolisaurus* (Hendrickx et al., 2020) but differing from the more labiolingually compressed mesial teeth of other abelisaurids such as the premaxillary teeth of *Majungasaurus* (see Hendrickx et al., 2020). Although the crown lacks its cervical portion, it seems to have been weakly elongated, as in all known members of Abelisauridae (Hendrickx et al., 2020).

The mesiolingually oriented mesial carina of the Montrebei specimen resembles that of the first premaxillary tooth of abelisaurids, as well as some teeth possibly belonging to *Abelisaurus* (MPCA 1, 5 and 267; see Hendrickx et al., 2020), mesial abelisaurid crowns from the Western Tremp Syncline (Abelisauridae indet. 1) and Poyos (Abelisauridae indet. morphotype 1), along with the lateral teeth of *Arcovenator* and closely related specimens from Poyos (Hendrickx and Mateus, 2014, Tortosa et al., 2014; Hendrickx et al., 2020; Isasmendi et al., 2022, 2024; Malafaia et al., 2025). The strongly lingually deflected distal carina of DPM-MON-T10 is a feature shared with the mesial abelisaurid crown from the Western Tremp Syncline, the isolated mesial crown IIPG-09, and the lateral crowns of *Arcovenator* (Hendrickx et al., 2020; Meso et al., 2021; Isasmendi et al., 2022, 2024). Two distinctive features of the Montrebei crown are the position of the apex, which apparently extended beyond its basodistalmost point, and its concave distal profile. These features resemble the condition seen in '*Indosuchus*' and differ from the mesial dentition of *Chenanisaurus*, *Majungasaurus*, *Skorpiovenator*, the mesial teeth closely related to *Arcovenator* from Poyos, and mesial teeth from the Allen Formation in Patagonia (Smith, 2007; Hendrickx et al., 2020; Meso et al., 2021; Malafaia et al., 2025). However, the distal maxillary teeth of '*Indosuchus*' do not exhibit a distal profile as concave as that of the Montrebei specimen (see Hendrickx et al., 2020; fig. 6.f). Therefore, in light of these considerations, DPM-MON-T10 1 is here assigned to Abelisauridae indet.

Morphotype 2.

The isolated tooth MG 73 from Viso shares a combination of features with other members of Abelisauridae, namely a ziphodont crown, a straight or gently convex distal profile, a lanceolate basal cross-section, denticulated mesial and distal carinae (with the distal carina reaching the cervix), presence of large number of small denticles in the distal carina (c. 20 denticles per 5 mm), sub-quadrangular distal denticles, narrow interdenticular space, and an irregular, non-oriented enamel texture (see Hendrickx et al., 2020). Furthermore, the cladistic and morphometric analyses carried out herein further support its referral to Abelisauridae or, at least to Abelisauroidea.

An irregular enamel surface texture and the presence of transverse undulations are two dental features typically observed in Abelisauridae dentition (e.g., Canale et al., 2009; Longrich et al., 2017; Hendrickx et al., 2019, 2020; Meso et al., 2021; Isasmendi et al., 2022, 2024). Transverse undulations are also present in other Iberian abelisaurid teeth such as the three abelisaurid morphotypes from the latest Maastrichtian of the Western Tremp Syncline (South Pyrenean Basin) (Isasmendi et al., 2024) and the teeth of *Arcovenator* from the upper Campanian of Armuña (UPUAM 14044) and Laño (Isasmendi et al., 2022). Unlike MPZ 2004/8, MPZ 2017/804, and MPZ 2022/86 from the Maastrichtian of Western Tremp Syncline but similar to the lateral teeth of most Abelisauridae (Hendrickx et al., 2020), concave surfaces adjacent to the carinae are absent in MG 73.

MG 73 shares a moderately compressed crown (CBR of 0.58) with *Abelisaurus*, *Arcovenator*, *Aucasaurus*, and *Majungasaurus* (Hendrickx et al., 2020), as well as the Abelisauridae indet. morphotypes 1 and 3 from the Western Tremp Syncline (Isasmendi et al., 2024) and many teeth from Poyos (Malafaia et al., 2025).

Typically, the mesial and distal carinae in lateral abelisaurid crowns are centrally located on their respective mesial and distal margins (Hendrickx et al., 2020). However, in the MG 73 specimen, the mesial carina is lingually positioned and straight, resembling the condition present in the teeth referred to *Arcovenator* or a closely related taxon from Jas Neuf Sud, Laño, and Poyos (Tortosa et al., 2014; Hendrickx et al., 2020; Isasmendi et al., 2022; Malafaia et al., 2025). The distal carina of MG 73 is centrally located, as in most abelisaurids (Hendrickx et al., 2020), differing from the strongly deflected distal carinae of *Arcovenator* from Jas Neuf Sud, the largest teeth from Laño, and most of the Abelisauridae indet. lateral morphotypes from the Western Tremp Syncline (Tortosa et al., 2014; Hendrickx et al. 2020; Isasmendi et al., 2022, 2024). The distocentral denticle density of MG 73 is 20 denticles per five millimeters, which is slightly higher than the range typically found in most Abelisauridae taxa that have 9 to 15 denticles per five millimeters (Hendrickx et al., 2020). The sub-quadrangular basal distal denticles of MG 73 are similar in shape to those located at mid-crown in *Abelisaurus*, *Kryptops*, *Rugops*, some *Aucasaurus*, and most *Majungasaurus*, differing from the denticle shape present in *Arcovenator*, some teeth of *Aucasaurus*, and a few crowns of *Majungasaurus* (Hendrickx et al., 2020). Although many abelisaurids exhibit interdenticular sulci, MG 73 lacks them, similar to the condition present in the crowns of *Aucasaurus*, *Arcovenator*, *Rugops*, and UCPC 10, which either lack these sulci or have them restricted to the base of the distal denticles (Smith, 2007; Hendrickx et al., 2020). Therefore, despite MG 73 exhibiting many abelisaurid traits, some features are distinct from any previously described member of Abelisauridae, and hence, this crown is regarded as Abelisauridae indet.

***Arcovenator* sp.**

The isolated tooth CH 168 from Chera 2 has traits present in abelisaurid teeth, including an irregular and non-oriented enamel texture, CHR and CBR values, denticle shape, denticle density, and the DSDI value (see Hendrickx et al., 2020). Furthermore, one of the LDA classifies this specimen as *Arcovenator*. Nevertheless, the cladistic analyses do not clarify the phylogenetic affinities of the Chera 2 specimen. This tooth lacks some typical dental features found in most members of Abelisauridae (see Hendrickx et al., 2020), such as a straight or gently convex distal profile, a mesial carina extending to the cervix, or the characteristic arrangement of the carinae. The CBR is moderate for a lateral tooth, a feature shared with *Aucasaurus*, *Carnotaurus*, *Majungasaurus*, some teeth assigned to *Arcovenator* sp. from Laño, and some teeth from Poyos (Hendrickx et al., 2020; Isasmendi et al., 2022; Malafaia et al., 2025). Its CHR value is also moderate (1.94), similar to those of *Arcovenator*, *Chenanisaurus*, and some lateral teeth of *Majungasaurus*, among others (Hendrickx et al., 2020). Comparable CHR values are also found in teeth assigned to *Arcovenator* sp. from Laño and in lateral teeth belonging to an abelisaurid closely related to *Arcovenator* from Poyos (Isasmendi et al., 2022; Malafaia et al., 2025). Furthermore, among abelisaurid teeth, only those referred to *Arcovenator* from Armuña, Jas Neuf Sud, and Laño, as well as those closely related to *Arcovenator* from Poyos, exhibit an apex that extends beyond the basodistalmost point of the crown (Tortosa et al., 2014; Hendrickx et al., 2020; Isasmendi et al., 2022; Malafaia et al., 2015; this study).

The lateral crowns of abelisaurid theropods typically have mesial and distal carinae that extend along the midline of the crown from the apex to the root (Hendrickx et al. 2020), unlike the CH 168 tooth from Chera 2. Indeed, in this specimen the mesial carina is straight, displaces mesiolingually toward the base, and extends from the apex to approximately two-thirds of the crown. On the other hand, the distal carina reaches the

cervix but is sigmoidal and labially deflected. This condition more closely resembles that present in teeth referred to *Arcovenator* from the Jas Neuf Sud locality (Tortosa et al., 2014; Hendrickx et al., 2020), the largest teeth assigned to *Arcovenator* from Laño (Isasmendi et al., 2022), and the morphotype 2 abelisaurid teeth from Poyos (Malafaia et al., 2025).

Regarding the denticles, the DSDI value of the Chera 2 tooth is 1, similar to the teeth referred to *Arcovenator* from Jas Neuf Sud and Laño, as well as some specimens closely related to this taxon from Poyos , but differing from some *Arcovenator* teeth, in which the DSDI exceed 1.2 (Hendrickx et al., 2020; Isasmendi et al., 2022; Malafaia et al., 2025). The mesiocentral denticle density of CH 168 (MC of 15 denticles per 5 mm) is similar to the MC values of *Abelisaurus* and *Arcovenator*, while the distocentral denticle density of the specimen studied here (DC of 15 denticles per 5 mm) more closely resembles those of *Arcovenator* or *Aucasaurus*, whereas *Abelisaurus* teeth have lower DC values (Tortosa et al., 2014; Hendrickx et al., 2020).

The outline of the denticles in CH 168 is symmetrically to slightly asymmetrically convex as in *Arcovenator* teeth from Jas Neuf Sud and Laño, the teeth from Poyos, *Skorpiovenator*, and some abelisaurid teeth from the Cenomanian of northern Africa (Richet et al.., 2013; Hendrickx et al., 2020; Isasmendi et al., 2022; Malafaia et al., 2025). The CH 168 tooth further resembles the teeth of *Arcovenator* in exhibiting subquadrangular or apicobasally subrectangular mesial denticles and mesiodistally subrectangular distal denticles (Hendrickx et al., 2020; Isasmendi et al., 2022; Malafaia et al., 2025). Therefore, based on the results of the morphometric analyses and the morphological similarities of the CH 168 tooth with other *Arcovenator* teeth, this specimen is assigned to *Arcovenator* sp.

Postcranial remains.

Despite their fragmentary nature, the Laño specimens exhibit several morphological features that support their attribution to Abelisauroidea. The presence in MCNA 17433 of a centrodiapophyseal lamina on the transverse processes of the anterior and middle caudal vertebrae allows it to be confidently referred to this clade (Méndez, 2014, Tortosa et al., 2014), and Abelisauridae according to Baiano et al. (2023). In addition, the neural arch is also broader than the mid-centrum, which is a feature shared with *Masiakasaurus* and several abelisaurids (Baiano et al., 2023). In addition, the elliptical shape of the articular surfaces of the caudal vertebra, the presence of a ventral longitudinal groove on the centrum, and the lateral orientation of transverse process of the anterior dorsal and the anterior to middle caudal vertebra and the fan-shaped morphology of the transverse processes of the anterior dorsal vertebra allows these specimens to be referred to Majungasaurinae. Furthermore, since the presence of *Arcovenator* has previously been documented in Laño (Isasmendi et al., 2022), these remains are assigned to cf. *Arcovenator* sp., pending the discovery of additional diagnostic material.

The horizontally projected transverse processes seen in MCNA 8366 are also present in the anterior dorsals of *Majungasaurus* and *Sinraptor* (Currie and Zhao, 1993, O'Connor, 2007) and differ from those of *Allosaurus* and *Sigilmassasaurus* (Madsen, 1976; Evers et al., 2015), in which the transverse processes are more ventrally projected. Furthermore, the fan-shaped transverse processes, which are laterally projected in MCNA 8366, are also seen in the anteriormost dorsal of *Majungasaurus* and *Sigilmassasaurus* (O'Connor, 2007; Evers et al., 2015). The morphology of the transverse process in the specimen from Laño, with an anterior convex and posterior straight edge that make the diapophysis project face laterally, is similar to that of

Majungasaurus (UA 8678; fig. 3 of O'Connor, 2007) although in this taxon the anterior convex edge is less pronounced. The posterior straight edge of the transverse processes of MCNA 8366 differs from the concave edges and posterolaterally facing diapophysis exhibited by the anteriormost dorsals of, for instance, *Carnotaurus* (MACN-CH 894), *Eoabelisaurus* (MPEF PV 3990), *Viavenator* (MAU-Pv-LI-530; fig. 7D of Filipi et al., 2018) and the indeterminate brachyrostran (MAU-Pv-LI-665; fig 6D of Méndez et al., 2022). This indicates that this condition could be a majungasaurine synapomorphy. Nevertheless, this hypothesis needs to be phylogenetically tested.

The ventral surface of the MCNA 17433 caudal vertebra exhibits a ventral longitudinal groove, a feature also present in *Allosaurus*, *Arcovenator*, *Aucasaurus*, *Ceratosaurus*, *Majungasaurus*, and *Viavenator*, as well as in many spinosaurids and megalosaurids (e.g., Madsen, 1976; Madsen and Welles, 2000; O'Connor, 2007; Benson, 2010; Méndez, 2014; Tortosa et al., 2014; Filippi et al., 2018; Malafaia et al., 2020). This contrasts with some abelisaurids, such as *Ekrixinatosaurus* and *Rajasaurus*, which exhibit a keeled ventral surface (Méndez, 2014). The presence of a centrodiapophyseal lamina on the ventral surface of the preserved transverse processes is a feature shared with Abelisauridae (e.g., *Arcovenator*, *Aucasaurus*, *Carnotaurus*, *Ekrixinatosaurus*, *Kurupi* and *Majungasaurus*) and *Masiakasaurus*, but not with *Allosaurus* or *Ceratosaurus* (Carrano et al., 2002; Coria et al., 2002; Calvo et al., 2004; O'Connor, 2007; Méndez, 2014; Tortosa et al., 2014; Iori et al., 2021; Baiano et al., 2023). Nevertheless, despite deformation, the bases of the transverse processes in MCNA 17433 do not appear to be strongly dorsally directed. This differs from many abelisaurids such as *Aucasaurus*, *Carnotaurus*, *Ekrixinatosaurus* and *Skorpiovenator*, and is more similar to the condition observed in *Allosaurus*, *Arcovenator*, *Ceratosaurus*, *Majungasaurus*, and *Rahiolisaurus* (Madsen, 1976; Madsen and Welles, 2000;

O'Connor, 2007; Méndez, 2014; Baiano et al., 2023). The anterior and posterior articular facets of the MCNA 17433 caudal vertebra are close to elliptical in outline (note that although the centrum appears strongly mediolaterally compressed, this is likely a taphonomic artifact). This shape is more similar to that observed in *Arcovenator*, *Majungasaurus*, *Rajasaurus*, and *Sinraptor*, and differs from the more subcircular surfaces of, for instance, *Allosaurus*, *Aucasaurus*, *Carnotaurus*, *Ekrixinatosaurus*, *Ichthyovenator*, *Kurupi*, *Tyrannosaurus* or *Viavenator* (Currie and Zhao, 1993; Brochu, 2003; Wilson et al., 2003; O'Connor, 2007; Allain et al., 2012; Méndez, 2014; Tortosa et al., 2014; Filippi et al., 2018; Iori et al., 2021; Baiano et al., 2023).

New contributions to the knowledge of European abelisaurids.

In the Late Cretaceous European archipelago, skeletal remains of mid- to large-bodied theropods have been recovered in Central and Western Europe (e.g., Ősi et al., 2010; Ősi and Buffetaut, 2011; Tortosa et al., 2014; Isasmendi et al., 2022; Buffetaut et al., 2024). Among these remains, indeterminate tetanurans and abelisaurids have been identified. In the central European region, specifically at the Santonian site of Iharkút in Hungary and the Campanian site of Styria in Austria, teeth assigned to early-branching indeterminate tetanurans have been recovered (Ősi et al., 2010, 2012). Additionally, the Iharkút site has yielded further theropod remains referred to Abelisauridae (Ősi et al., 2010; Ősi and Buffetaut, 2011), indicating that two mid- to large-sized theropod clades coexisted in Central Europe during the Santonian. However, the scarce theropod remains in this area makes it challenging, at this moment, to provide a more accurate interpretation of the phylogenetic relationships of this fauna. Western Europe, on the other hand, appears to lack mid- to large-bodied early-branching tetanurans, with

Abelisauridae representing the main apex predator (e.g., Csiki-Sava et al., 2015; Isasmendi et al., 2022; Buffetaut et al., 2024; Malafaia et al., 2025).

Abelisaurid theropods have historically been interpreted as biogeographical indicators of Gondwanan affinities within Late Cretaceous European dinosaur assemblages (Buffetaut et al., 1988; Le Loeuff and Buffetaut, 1991; Csiki-Sava et al., 2015). Current evidence supports the persistence of multiple abelisauroid lineages within the European archipelago up to the latest Cretaceous (Carrano and Sampson, 2008; Tortosa et al., 2014; Buffetaut et al., 2024; Buffetaut, 2025). Based on the French fossil record, Tortosa et al. (2014) proposed that small-bodied abelisaurids likely originated from an Albian lineage of early-branching abelisaurids represented by *Genusaurus sisteronis* from Provence, which subsequently diversified into distinct lineages. It should be noted that this taxon has, however, been variably interpreted as a noasaurid (e.g., Carrano and Sampson, 2008), an early-branching abelisaurid (e.g., Tortosa et al., 2014; Baiano et al., 2023), or an abelisaurid more closely related to Furileusauria (Buffetaut et al., 2024; Buffetaut, 2025).

The Cenomanian fossil record of Ibero-Armorica is scarce and currently consists of the considerably incomplete *Caletodraco cottardi* from the early Cenomanian glauconitic Chalk of Normandy (Buffetaut et al., 2024) (Fig. 9, loc. 1). This taxon, which is known from ilia, some axial elements and a possible tooth, was interpreted as a furileusaurian brachyrostran, potentially reflecting a more intricate evolutionary history of European abelisaurids, with the presence of different abelisaurid lineages in Ibero-Armorica (Buffetaut, 2024; Buffetaut et al., 2024; Malafaia et al., 2025). Other Cenomanian abelisaurid remains from France and Spain include isolated teeth from Algora (Segovia, Spain), “La Buzinie” (Charentes, France), and Limanes (Asturias, Spain). The isolated theropod teeth from Algora (Utrillas Formation) (Fig. 9, loc. 4), were initially referred

to Carcharodontosauridae indet. (Torices et al., 2012) before being reassigned to cf. Abelisauridae by Pérez-García et al. (2020). Additionally, a medium- to large-sized caudal vertebra (ALG 192) from the same site was attributed to Theropoda indet. (Pérez-García et al., 2020). Two other isolated teeth (MA BZN 1 and 2) from the “La Buzinie” locality (Fig. 9, loc. 2) were similarly assigned to Carcharodontosauridae by Vullo et al., 2007 before being reassigned to cf. Abelisauridae indet. by Malafaia et al. (2025), based on their crown ornamentation and denticle densities. Another isolated tooth from the Cenomanian La Manjoya Fm in the Limanes municipality (Fig. 9, loc. 3) was also identified as Theropoda indet. closely related to Carcharodontosauridae (Ruiz-Omeñaca et al., 2009). Malafaia et al. (2025) agreed on the morphometric affinities suggested by Pérez-García et al. (2020) for the teeth from Algora, Charentes, and Limanes. The Limanes tooth belongs to a mid-sized theropod. The apex of the crown does not seem to have extended beyond its basodistalmost point (Ruiz-Omeñaca et al., 2009; fig. 2a and b), both mesial and distal margins are convex in lateral view (Ruiz-Omeñaca et al., 2009), the distal denticles are asymmetrically convex and apically inclined, and the enamel exhibits an irregular texture, all features present in Abelisauridae but absent in Carcharodontosauridae (Hendrickx et al., 2019, 2020). The mesial carina is mesiolingually oriented (Ruiz-Omeñaca et al., 2009; fig. 2c), being straight and centered apically but displaced lingually to the base, as in the first premaxillary tooth of Abelisauridae, some teeth that may belong to *Abelisaurus* (MPCA 1, 5 and 267; see Hendrickx et al., 2020), Abelisauridae indet. morphotype 1 tooth from the Western Tremp Syncline and Poyos, as well as in the lateral teeth of *Arcovenator* and closely related specimens from Poyos (Hendrickx and Mateus, 2014, Tortosa et al., 2014; Hendrickx et al., 2020; Isasmendi et al., 2022, 2024; Malafaia et al., 2025). Ruiz-Omeñaca et al. (2009) indicate a mesial denticle density of 2 denticles/mm and a distal

denticle density of 2.22 denticle/mm (giving a DSDI value of 0.9). However, these measurements were taken from different positions along the crown, which compromises their comparability. The inferred MA is 14 denticles per five mm and the DA is 12.5 denticles per five mm. Furthermore, an accurate DSDI value cannot be calculated because the distal carina is not preserved at mid-crown. Therefore, based on the combination of features shared with Abelisauridae, this tooth is here regarded as Abelisauridae indet. These findings suggest that carcharodontosaurians were already extinct in Ibero-Armorica by the Cenomanian and that abelisaurids had become the apex theropods in those ecosystems.

No abelisaurid or large-sized theropod remains that may belong to Abelisauridae have been recovered to date from the Turonian, Coniacian, or Santonian of the Ibero-Armorican landmass. In the Campanian–Maatrichtian Viso locality (Beira Litoral, Portugal) (Fig. 9, loc. 5), several theropod remains were reported and figured by Antunes and Sigogneau-Russell (1992) and Galton (1996). Among the Viso theropod material, tooth VI 1 (Antunes and Sigogneau-Russell, 1992; pl. I, fig. 5) was initially referred to as Theropoda indet. However, due to its considerable size, it may be attributable to Abelisauridae as the herein studied tooth from Viso (MG 73). The MG 73 specimen had previously been identified as *Megalosaurus* sp. (Sauvage, 1897–1898), *Megalosaurus* cf. *pannoniensis* (Lapparent and Zbyszewski, 1957), and Theropoda indet. (Malafaia et al., 2024). Nonetheless, the morphological characteristics exhibited by this specimen warrant its reassignment to Abelisauridae indet., representing the first unequivocal abelisaurid remain from the Cretaceous of Portugal.

Theropod remains are relatively rare in lower Campanian deposits of Ibero-Armorica. At the Lambeau du Beausset locality in Var (France) (Fig. 9, loc. 6), the abelisaurid *Tarascosaurus* was erected based on fragmentary material (Le Loeuff and Buffetaut,

1991). This taxon has since been regarded as *nomen dubium* (Rauhut, 2003; Allain and Pereda-Suberbiola, 2003) or referred to Abelisauroidea *incertae sedis* (Carrano and Sampson, 2008). Nevertheless, it was recovered as an early-branching member of Abelisauridae in the phylogenetic analyses performed by Tortosa et al. (2014). Additional material from the Iberian Peninsula, specifically femora from the Laño site (Fig. 9, loc. 11), has been compared to *Tarascosaurus* (Le Loeuff and Buffetaut, 1991, Le Loeuff, 1992), but no definitive evidence currently supports this attribution (Isasmendi et al., 2021, 2022; Malafaia et al., 2025).

The middle and upper Campanian abelisaurid record in France and Spain is comparatively richer. In Provence, indeterminate abelisaurid remains have been reported from the Trets-La Boucharde site (Fig. 9, loc. 7), Velaux-Bastide Neuve (Fig. 9, loc. 8), and the Fox-Amphoux area (Fig. 9, loc. 9) (Tortosa et al., 2014). The Trets-La Boucharde specimen from the “Begudian fluvio-lacustrine sandstones” was previously described as an abelisauroid (Allain and Pereda-Suberbiola, 2003), and it is currently regarded as an indeterminate abelisaurid by various authors (Carrano and Sampson, 2008; Tortosa et al., 2014). The abelisaurid remains reported by Tortosa et al. (2014) from Velaux-Bastide Neuve (“Begudian sandstones”; Garcia et al., 2010; Cincotta et al., 2015) and the Fox-Amphoux area (middle–late Campanian to early Maastrichtian? “Grès à reptiles”) comprise a set of isolated teeth that are yet to be published.

Arcovenator escotae was erected based on a set of cranial, axial and appendicular elements recovered from the upper Campanian Pourrières-Jas Neuf Sud locality (Fig. 9, loc. 10) of the lower “Argiles rutilantes” Fm (Tortosa et al., 2014). This is the most complete European abelisaurid currently known and has been classified as a majungasaurine (Tortosa et al., 2014). In addition to the holotype and several referred specimens reported by Tortosa et al. (2014), other skeletal elements from Upper

Cretaceous deposits of France and the Iberian Peninsula have also been assigned to *Arcovenator* or closely related forms (Tortosa et al., 2014; Pérez-García et al., 2016; Isasmendi et al., 2022; Malafaia et al., 2025). This is the case of the late Campanian to early Maastrichtian Pourcieux specimen from the Les Tuillières site ("Rognacian" coarse sandstone) (Fig. 9, loc. 15) in Provence. This specimen was first regarded as Abelisauridae (Buffetaut et al., 1998, Rauhut, 2003), and Abelisauridae indet. or Carcharodontosauridae (Carrano and Sampson, 2008), before Tortosa et al. (2014) referred it to ?*Arcovenator* sp. The coeval "Grès à reptiles" red beds of Cruzy in Languedoc (Fig. 9, loc. 14) has yielded several teeth as well as cranial and postcranial fragmentary remains, which may be attributable to medium- to large-sized abelisaurids (Buffetaut et al., 1999; Buffetaut, 2005; Ősi and Buffetaut, 2011; Tortosa et al., 2014), possibly related to *Arcovenator* (Tortosa et al., 2014). In the Iberian Peninsula, isolated teeth from Laño (County of Treviño), Armuña (Segovia), Chera 2 (Valencia), and Poyos (Guadalajara) were assigned to *Arcovenator* or closely related forms (Pérez-García et al., 2016; Isasmendi et al., 2022; Malafaia et al., 2025; this work). The largest set of isolated teeth assigned to *Arcovenator* sp. come from the upper Campanian Sedano Fm of Laño (Fig. 9, loc. 11) (Isasmendi et al., 2022), which has also yielded additional postcranial theropod remains. It must be noted that a dorsal neural arch previously assigned to *Rhabdodon* (Pereda-Suberbiola and Sanz, 1999) and the caudal vertebra herein described can be referred to cf. *Arcovenator*. The coeval Vegas de Matute Fm of Armuña (Fig. 9, loc. 12) and Sierra Perenchiza Fm of Chera 2 (Fig. 9, loc. 13) have also yielded several isolated teeth referred to cf. *Arcovenator* and *Arcovenator* sp., respectively (Pérez-García et al., 2016; Company et al., 2005; this work). It is worth noting that the isolated tooth was subject of varying taxonomic interpretations, having been referred to Theropoda indet. (Company, 2005), ?Neoceratosauria indet. (Company

et al., 2009), and cf. *Arcovenator* (Isasmendi et al., 2022). Additionally, the pedal ungual phalanges recovered from the Armuña site were initially assigned to Theropoda indet. (Pérez-García et al., 2016) and later reinterpreted as Abelisauridae indet. (Isasmendi et al., 2024). Two dental morphotypes from the late Campanian–early Maastrichtian Poyos site (Villalba de la Sierra Fm; Gil et al., 2004; Ortega and Pérez-García, 2009) (Fig. 9, loc. 18) were described and referred to an abelisaurid closely related to *Arcovenator* by Malafaia et al. (2025). This site has additionally yielded non-dental theropod remains initially referred to Abelisauroidea indet. (Ortega et al., 2019; Pérez-García et al., 2019) and later to Abelisauridae indet. (Malafaia et al., 2025), pending further detailed studies. Some theropod remain from the Lo Hueco site (Fig. 9, loc. 17) were regarded as Theropoda indet. whereas others were referred to Abelisauridae indet. (Ortega et al., 2015, 2022).

The abelisaurid fossil record from the Maastrichtian is comparatively less abundant than that from the Campanian (Isasmendi et al., 2024). The early Maastrichtian Montrebei site (La Posa Formation; 'Grey Garumnian' of the Tremp Group; Fondevilla et al., 2019) of the Tremp Syncline (Fig. 9, loc. 20) in Lleida (Iberian Peninsula) yielded an isolated tooth initially assigned to Theropoda indet. (Torices et al., 2015), but its subsequent restudy has permitted its referral to Abelisauridae indet. In the western section of the Tremp Syncline, abelisaurid teeth were also reported from the late Maastrichtian Blasi 1, 2B and 3 (Fig. 9, loc. 21) and the latest Maastrichtian 172-i/04/e (Fig. 9, loc. 22) sites, located at the top of the Arén Sandstone and the lower part of the Tremp Group ("Grey Garumnian") (Canudo et al., 2016; Puértolas-Pascual et al., 2018; Isasmendi et al., 2024). Several teeth from the Blasi sites, along with the specimen from 172-i/04/e, were previously referred to Theropoda indet. by Torices et al. (2015) and Puértolas-Pascual et al. (2018) and later assigned to three different Abelisauridae indet.

morphotypes by Isasmendi et al. (2024). At the Cassagnau 1 locality (Fig. 9, loc. 16), situated within the late Maastrichtian Auzas Marls Fm in Haute-Garonne, an isolated tooth, originally referred to Theropoda indet. by Laurent (2003), was later reinterpreted as belonging to Abelisauridae by Csiki-Sava et al. (2015). In addition to isolated teeth, the Maastrichtian French deposits have yielded several indeterminate abelisaurid postcranial remains. These are the limb bones (femur and tibia) initially assigned to Neoceratosauria by Valentin et al. (2012), recovered at the Vitrolles-La Plaine site (Fig. 9, loc. 19) from the "Rognacian clays and mottled marls" deposits in Bouches-du-Rhône. This site has been dated as possibly late Maastrichtian (Valentin et al., 2012) or also as late Campanian–early Maastrichtian (Fondevilla et al., 2019).

Taken together, the abelisaurid fossil record across Ibero-Armorica reveals a complex and temporally extensive presence of this clade, spanning from the Albian to the latest Maastrichtian, with a roughly 10-million-year gap during the Turonian–Santonian without any abelisaurid fossil record. Despite their relatively fragmentary nature, these remains, ranging from isolated teeth to partial skeletons, provide compelling evidence for the persistence and diversification of abelisaurids within the European archipelago throughout the Late Cretaceous. The distribution of taxa such as *Genusaurus*, *Caletodraco*, *Arcovenator*, and additional indeterminate forms suggests multiple evolutionary lineages, potentially some of them stemming from an Albian stock and others likely representing subsequent dispersal events, undergoing insular diversification through the Late Cretaceous. Moreover, the taxonomic revisions of previously misassigned material emphasize the need for ongoing reevaluation of fragmentary theropod remains. Such efforts are crucial to refine our understanding of abelisaurid paleobiogeography and systematics in Europe.

CONCLUSIONS

The systematic, morphometric and cladistic studies carried out with the tooth sample from late Campanian Chera 2 (Valencia), early Maastrichtian Montrebei (Lleida) and Campanian–Maastrichtian Viso (Beira Litoral) localities from the Iberian Peninsula have allowed to reassign these elements to abelisaurids. Although incomplete, the Montrebei (DPM-MON-T10 1) and Viso (MG 73) specimens differ from the dentition of the already erected members of Abelisauridae. On the other hand, the crown-shape, disposition of the carinae and denticle shape exhibited by CH 168 tooth from Chera 2 are similar to those found in *Arcovenator* teeth. Hence, the Montrebei and Viso teeth are now considered to belong to Abelisauridae indet., while the Chera 2 specimen is attributed to *Arcovenator* sp. In addition, the postcranial remains (axial elements) recovered from the Laño site (County of Treviño) are here regarded to as an abelisaurid, mainly based on the presence of a centrodiapophyseal lamina on the preserved transverse process in the caudal vertebra (MCNA 17433). In addition, the shape and orientation of the transverse processes of the dorsal and caudal vertebrae suggest majungasaurine affinities. Therefore, these features, together with the presence of *Arcovenator* teeth in the site, allow us to assign these elements to cf. *Arcovenator*. The revision of the Laño postcranial remains has allowed to refute earlier interpretations that assigned the anterior dorsal neural arch (MCNA 8366) to *Rhabdodon*. Furthermore, the Viso isolated tooth, now assigned to Abelisauridae indet., is the first unequivocal abelisaurid remain from Portugal.

The fossil record indicates that abelisaurids were among the most prevalent theropod groups in the Late Cretaceous faunas across the Ibero-Armorican landmasses. Indeed, the reclassification of mid- to large-sized isolated teeth from Ibero-Armorica as belonging to abelisaurids, rather than to carcharodontosaurids or closely related forms,

indicates that carcharodontosaurians were likely extinct in Ibero-Armorica by the Cenomanian, and that abelisaurids had already taken over as the dominant large theropods in those ecosystems.

The abelisaurid fossil record from Ibero-Armorica reveals a complex and temporally extensive presence of this clade, spanning from the Albian to the latest Maastrichtian, with a significant gap of approximately 10 million years during the Turonian–Santonian, in which no abelisaurid fossils have been found yet. Despite the generally fragmentary nature of these remains, ranging from isolated teeth to partial skeletons, they provide strong evidence for the persistence and diversification of abelisaurids across the European archipelago. The distribution of taxa such as the noasaurid or possibly furileusaurian *Genusaurus*, the putative furileusaurian *Caletodraco*, the majungasaurine *Arcovenator*, and other indeterminate forms suggests the presence of multiple evolutionary lineages, some potentially originating from an Albian stock, while others might have resulted from several dispersal events, undergoing insular diversification throughout the Late Cretaceous. Additionally, the taxonomic revisions of previously misclassified material underscore the need to continuously reevaluate fragmentary theropod remains, thereby refining our understanding of abelisaurid paleobiogeography and systematics in Europe.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Xabier Pereda-Suberiola (UPV/EHU), Angélica Torices (UCM), Carmelo Corral (MCNA), Concha Herrero (UCM), Jorge Sequeira and Rúben Dias (MG) for granting us access to the herein studied material. We are also thankful to Julio Company (UPV) for sharing the photographs of the Chera 2 specimen. Special thanks are due to Elena Cuesta (SNSB-BSPG), Manuel Pérez-Pueyo (UPV/EHU) and

Ignacio Díaz-Martinez (UC) for their helpful advice. We also thank Mattia Baiano (UNRN) for sharing the photographs of the South American abelisaurids. Finally, we would also like to thank Taylor Swift and Lady Gaga for making the writing of this manuscript more enjoyable. This work was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities and the European Regional Development Fund (projects CGL2017-85038-P and PID2021-122612OBI00, MINECO/FEDER, UE), the Basque Government/Eusko Jaurlaritza (research groups IT418-19 and IT1485-22), and the Portuguese Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) I.P./MCTES through and individual contracts CEECIND/01770/2018 (https://doi.org/10.54499/CEECIND/01770/2018/CP1534/CT0004). We are very thankful to Scott Hartman for letting us use his skeletal diagrams and Willi Henning Society for the available free TNT software. We especially thank the editors Mauricio Cerroni and Diego Pol, and the referees Matias Soto and Christophe Hendrickx for their comments and suggestions that greatly improved the manuscript.

REFERENCES

Allain, R., & Taquet, P. (2000). A new genus of Dromaeosauridae (Dinosauria, Theropoda) from the Upper Cretaceous of France. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, *20*(2), 404–407. https://doi.org/10.1671/0272-4634(2000)020[0404:ANGODD]2.0.CO;2

Allain, R., & Pereda-Suberbiola, X. (2003). Dinosaurs of France. *Comptes Rendus Palevol*, *2*(1), 27–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1631-0683(03)00002-2

Allain, R., Xaisanavong, T., Richir, P., & Khentavong, B. (2012). The first definitive Asian spinosaurid (Dinosauria: Theropoda) from the early cretaceous of Laos. *Naturwissenschaften*, *99*(5), 369–377. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-012-0911-7

Alonso, A., Meléndez, N., & Mas, J. R. (1991). Sedimentación lacustre durante el Cretácico en la Cordillera Ibérica, España. *Acta geológica hispánica*, *26*, 35–54.

Amudeo-Plaza, J., Soto-Acuna, S., Ugalde, R., Martínez, P., & Rubilar-Rogers, D. (2023). Reassessment of theropod material from Pichasca, Northern Chile: Presence of Abelisauridae (Theropoda: Ceratosauria) from the Quebrada La Totora Beds (Albian-Turonian). *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, 129, 104494. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2023.104494

Antunes, M. T., & Sigogneau-Russell, D. (1991). Nouvelles données sur les dinosaures du Crétacé supérieur du Portugal. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris, II*, *313*, 113–119.

Antunes, M. T., & Sigogneau-Russell, D. (1992). La faune de petits dinosaures du Crétacé terminal portugais. *Comunicações dos Serviços Geológicos de Portugal*, *78*(1), 49–62.

Ardèvol, L., Klimowitz, J., Malagón, J., & Nagtegaal, P. J. C. (2000). Depositional Sequence Response to Foreland Deformation in the Upper Cretaceous of the Southern Pyrenees, Spain. *AAPG Bulletin*, *84*(4), 566–587. https://doi.org/10.1306/C9EBCE55-1735-11D7-8645000102C1865D

Astibia, H., Buffetaut, E., Buscalioni, A. D., Cappetta, H., Corral, C., Estes, R., García-Garmilla, F., Jaeger, J. J., Jiménez-Fuentes, E., Le Loeuff, J., Mazin, J. M., Orue-Etxebarria, X., Pereda-Suberbiola, J., Powell, J. E., Rage, J. C., Rodriguez-Lázaro, J., Sanz, J. L., & Tong, H. (1990). The fossil vertebrates from Laño (Basque Country, Spain); new evidence on the composition and affinities of the Late Cretaceous continental faunas of Europe. *Terra Nova*, *2*(5), 460–466.

Astibia, H., Murelaga, X., Pereda-Suberbiola, X., Elorza, J. J., & Gómez-Alday, J. J. (1999). Taphonomy and palaeoecology of the Upper Cretaceous continental

vertebrate-bearing beds of the Laño quarry (Iberian Peninsula). *Estudios del Museo de Ciencias naturales de Alava*, *14*(Número especial 1), 43–104.

Baiano, M. A., Coria, R. A., Canale, J. I., & Gianechini, F. A. (2021). New abelisaurid material from the Anacleto Formation (Campanian, Upper Cretaceous) of Patagonia, Argentina, shed light on the diagnosis of the Abelisauridae (Theropoda, Ceratosauria). *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, 110, 103402. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2021.103402

Baiano, M. A., Coria, R., Chiappe, L. M., Zurriaguz, V., & Coria, L. (2023). Osteology of the axial skeleton of *Aucasaurus garridoi*: phylogenetic and paleobiological inferences. *PeerJ*, 11, e16236. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.16236

Barbosa, B. P., Soares, A. F., Rocha, R. B., Manuppella, G., & Henriques, M. H. (2008). Geological map of Portugal at a scale of 1:50.000: explanatory news of the sheet 19-A (Cantanhede). Departamento de Geologia, Instituto Nacional de Engenharia, Tecnologia e Inovação, Lisboa.

Benson, R. B. (2010). A description of *Megalosaurus bucklandii* (Dinosauria: Theropoda) from the Bathonian of the UK and the relationships of Middle Jurassic theropods. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, *158*, 882–935. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.2009.00569.x

Berreteaga, A. (2008). Estudio estratigráfico, sedimentológico y paleontológico de los yacimientos con fósiles de vertebrados del Cretácico final de la Región Vasco-Cantábrica (Tesis Doctoral, Facultad de Ciencia y Tecnología, Universidad del País Vasco, Leioa).

Bonaparte, J. F. (1991). The gondwanian theropod families Abelisauridae and Noasauridae. *Historical Biology*, *5*(1), 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1080/10292389109380385

Bonaparte, J. F. (1996). Cretaceous tetrapods of Argentina. *Münchner Geowissenschaftliche Abhandlungen*, *30*(7), 73–130.

Bonaparte, J. F., & Novas, F. E. (1985). *Abelisaurus comahuensis* n. g., n. sp., Carnosauria del Cretacico tardia de Patagonia. *Ameghiniana*, 22, 259–265.

Brochu, C. A. (2003). Osteology of *Tyrannosaurus rex*: insights from a nearly complete skeleton and high-resolution computed tomographic analysis of the skull. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, *22*(4 suppl.), 1–138. https://doi.org/10.1080/02724634.2003.10010947

Buffetaut, E. (2005). Late Cretaceous vertebrates from the Saint-Chinian area (southern France): a review of previous research and an update on recent finds. *Acta Palaeontologica Romaniae*, *5*(3), 39–48.

Buffetaut, E. (2024). The discontinuous fossil record of European Abelisauridae and its significance for abelisaurid evolution and palaeobiogeography. *Evoluçao*, *3*, 19–21.

Buffetaut, E. (2025). Furileusaurian osteological characters in *Genusaurus sisteronis* Accarie et al., 1995, an abelisaurid dinosaur from the Albian (Lower Cretaceous) of south-eastern France. *Carnets natures*, 12, 79–88.

Buffetaut, E., Mechin, P., & Mechin-Salessy, A. (1988). Un dinosaure théropode d'affinités gondwaniennes dans le Crétacé supérieur de Provence. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences II*, *306*, 153–158.

Buffetaut, E., Le Leuff, J., Tong, H., Duffaud, S., Cavin, L., Garcia, G., & Ward, D. (1999). Un nouveau gisement de vertébrés du Crétacé supérieur à Cruzy (Hérault, Sud de la France). *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences II*, *328*, 203–208. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1251-8050(99)80097-4

Buffetaut, E., Tong, H., Girard, J., Hoyez, B., & Párraga, J. (2024). *Caletodraco cottardi*: A New Furileusaurian Abelisaurid (Dinosauria: Theropoda) from the Cenomanian Chalk of Normandy (North-Western France). *Fossil Studies*, *2*(3), 177–195. https://doi.org/10.3390/fossils2030009

Calvo, J. O., Rubilar-Rogers, D., & Moreno, K. (2004). A new abelisauridae (Dinosauria: Theropoda) from northwest Patagonia. *Ameghiniana*, *41*(4), 555–563.

Canale, J. I., Scanferla, C. A., Agnolin, F. L., & Novas, F. E. (2009). New carnivorous dinosaur from the Late Cretaceous of NW Patagonia and the evolution of abelisaurid theropods. *Naturwissenschaften*, *96*, 409–414. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-008-0487-4

Candeiro, C. R. A., & Martinelli, A. G. (2005). Abelisauroidea and Carchardontosauridae (Theropoda, Dinosauria) in the Cretaceous of South America. Paleogeographical and geocronological implications. *Sociedade & Natureza*, *Uberlândia*, *17*, 5–19.

Canudo, J. I., Oms, O., Vila, B., Galobart, À., Fondevilla, V., Puértolas-Pascual, E., Sellés, A. G., Cruzado-Caballero, P., Dinarès-Turell, J., Vicens, E., Castanera, D., Company, J., Burrel, L., Estrada, R., Marmi, J., & Blanco, A. (2016). The upper Maastrichtian dinosaur fossil record from the southern Pyrenees and its contribution to the topic of the Cretaceous-Palaeogene mass extinction event. *Cretaceous Research*, *57*, 540–551. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2015.06.013

Carrano, M. T., & Sampson, S. D. (2008). The phylogeny of ceratosauria (Dinosauria: Theropoda). *Journal of Systematic Palaeontology*, *6*(2), 183–236. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1477201907002246

Carrano, M. T., Sampson, S. D., & Forster, C. A. (2002). The osteology of *Masiakasaurus knopfleri*, a small abelisauroid (Dinosauria: Theropoda) from the Late Cretaceous of Madagascar. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, *22*(3), 510–534. https://doi.org/10.1671/0272-4634(2002)022[0510:TOOMKA]2.0.CO;2

Carrano, M. T., Benson, R. B., & Sampson, S. D. (2012). The phylogeny of tetanurae (Dinosauria: Theropoda). *Journal of Systematic Palaeontology*, *10*(2), 211–300. https://doi.org/10.1080/14772019.2011.630927

Cerroni, M. A., Canale, J. I., Novas, F. E., & Paulina-Carabajal, A. (2022). An exceptional neurovascular system in abelisaurid theropod skull: new evidence from *Skorpiovenator bustingorryi*. *Journal of Anatomy*, 240(4), 612–626. https://doi.org/10.1111/joa.13258

Cincotta, A., Yans, Y., Godefroit, P., Garcia, G., Dejax, J., Benammi, M., Amico, S., & Valentin, X. (2015). Interated paleoenvironmental reconstruction and taphonomy of a unique Upper Cretaceous vertebrate-bearing locality (Velaux, southeastern France). *PLOS ONE*, *10*(8), e0134231. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0134231

Company, J. (2005). Vertebrados continentales del Cretácico superior (Campaniense-Maastrichtiense) de Valencia (Tesis Doctoral, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Universitat de València, Valencia).

Company, J., & Szentesi, Z. (2012). Amphibians from the Late Cretaceous Sierra Perenchiza Formation of the Chera Basin, Valencia Province, Spain. *Cretaceous Research*, *37*, 240–245. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2012.04.003

Company, J., Feist, M., Peyrot, D., Barrón, E., Robles, F., Pereda-Suberbiola, X., & Ruiz-Omeñaca, J. I. (2005). Stratigraphic position and palaeoenvironmental traits

of the Late Cretaceous vertebrate-bearing sites of Chera (Valencia, Spain), based on micropalaeontological data. *Kaupia*, *14*, 76.

Company, J., Torices, A., Pereda-Suberbiola, X., & Ruiz-Omeñaca, J. (2009). Theropod teeth from the Late Cretaceous of Chera (Valencia, eastern Spain). *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, *29*, 81A.

Coria, R. A., Chiappe, L. M., & Dingus, L. (2002). A new close relative of *Carnotaurus sastrei* Bonaparte 1985 (Theropoda: Abelisauridae) from the Late Cretaceous of Patagonia. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, *22*(2), 460–465. https://doi.org/10.1671/0272-4634(2002)022[0460:ANCROC]2.0.CO;2

Corral, J. C., Pueyo, E. L., Berreteaga, A., Rodríguez-Pintó, A., Sánchez, E., & Pereda-Suberbiola, X. (2016). Magnetostratigraphy and lithostratigraphy of the Laño vertebrate-site: Implications in the uppermost Cretaceous chronostratigraphy of the Basque-Cantabrian Region. *Cretaceous Research*, *57*, 473–489. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2015.07.015

Csiki-Sava, Z., Buffetaut, E., Ősi, A., Pereda-Suberbiola, X., & Brusatte, S. L. (2015). Island life in the Cretaceous-faunal composition, biogeography, evolution, and extinction of land-living vertebrates on the Late Cretaceous European archipelago. *ZooKeys*, *469*, 1–161. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.469.8439

Currie, P. J., & Zhao, X. J. (1993). A new carnosaur (Dinosauria, Theropoda) from the Jurassic of Xinjiang, People's Republic of China. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, *30*(10), 2037–2081. https://doi.org/10.1139/e93-179

Currie, P. J., Rigby Jr., J. K., & Sloan, R. E. (1990). Theropod teeth from the Judith River Formation of southern Alberta, Canada. In K. Carpenter, & P. J. Currie, (Eds.). *Dinosaur Systematics: perspectives and approaches 8* (pp. 107–125). Cambridge University Press.

Díez-Canseco, D., Arz, J. A., Benito, M. I., Díaz-Molina, M., & Arenillas, I. (2014). Tidal influence in redbeds: A palaeoenvironmental and biochronostratigraphic reconstruction of the Lower Tremp Formation (South-Central Pyrenees, Spain) around the Cretaceous/Paleogene boundary. *Sedimentary Geology*, *312*, 31–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2014.06.008

Eichenseer, H. (1988). Facies Geology of Late Maastrichtian to Early Eocene Coastal and Shallow Marine Sediments (Tremp-Graus Basin, Northeastern Spain) (Tesis Doctoral, Institut und Museum für Geologie und Paläontologie, Universität Tübingen, Tübingen).

Evers, S. W., Rauhut, O. W. M., Milner, A. C., McFeeters, B., & Allain, R. (2015). A reappraisal of the morphology and systematic position of the theropod dinosaur *Sigilmassasaurus* from the "middle" Cretaceous of Morocco. *PeerJ*, *3*, e1323. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1323

Filippi, L. S., Méndez, A. H., Gianechini, F. A., Valieri, R. D. J., & Garrido, A. C. (2018). Osteology of *Viavenator exxoni* (Abelisauridae; Furileusauria) from the Bajo de la Carpa Formation, NW Patagonia, Argentina. *Cretaceous Research*, 83, 95–119. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2017.07.019

Floquet, M., Alonso, A., & Melendez, A. (1982). Cameros-Castilla. El Cretácico Superior. In A. García (Ed.). *El Cretácico de España*. Editorial Complutense.

Fondevilla, V., Dinarès-Turell, J., & Oms, O. (2016). The chronostratigraphic framework of the South-Pyrenean Maastrichtian succession reappraised: Implications for basin development and end Cretaceous dinosaur faunal turnover. *Sedimentary Geology*, *337*, 55–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2016.03.006

Fondevilla, V., Riera, V., Vila, B., Dinarès-Turell, J., Vicens, E., Gaete, R., Oms, O., & Galobart, À. (2019). Chronostratigraphic synthesis of the latest Cretaceous

dinosaur turnover in south-western Europe. *Earth-Science Reviews*, *191*, 168–189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.01.007

Galton, P. M. (1996). Notes on Dinosauria from the Upper Cretaceous of Portugal. *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie Monatshefte*, *2*, 83–90.

Garcia, G., Duffaud, S., Feist, M., Marandat, B., Tambareau, Y., Villatte, J., & Sigé, B. (2000). La Neuve, gisement à plantes, invertébrés et vertébrés du Bégudien (Sénonien supérieur continental) du bassin d'Aix-en-Provence. *Geodiversitas*, *22*(3), 325–348.

Garcia, G., Amico, S., Fournier, F., Thouand, E., & Valentin, X. (2010). A new titanosaur genus (Dinosauria, Sauropoda) from the Late Cretaceous of southern France and its paleobiogeographic implications. *Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France*, *181*, 269–277. https://doi.org/10.2113/gssgfbull.181.3.269

Gianechini, F. A., Apesteguía, S., Landini, W., Finotti, F., Valieri, R. J., & Zandonai, F. (2015). New abelisaurid remains from the Anacleto Formation (Upper Cretaceous), Patagonia, Argentina. *Cretaceous Research*, *54*, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2014.11.009

Gil, J., Carenas, B., Segura, M., García-Hidalgo, J. F., & García, A. (2004). Revisión y correlación de las unidades listoestratigráficas del Cretácico Superior de la región central y oriental de España. *Revista de la Sociedad Geológica de España*, *17*, 249–266.

Goloboff, P. A., & Morales, M. E. (2023). TNT version 1.6, with a graphical interface for MacOS and Linux, including new routines in parallel. *Cladistics*, *39*, 144–153. https://doi.org/10.1111/cla.12524.

Gómez, J. J., Sandoval, J., Aguado, R., O'Dogerthy, L., & Osete, M. L. (2019). The Alpine Cycle in Eastern Iberia: Microplate Units and Geodynamic Stages. In C.

Quesada, & J. T. Oliveira (Eds.). *The Geology of Iberia: A Geodynamic Approach, volume 3: The Alpine Cycle. Regional Geology Reviews* (pp. 15–28). Springer.

Gómez-Alday, J. J. (1999). Stratigraphy and depositional environments of the Upper Cretaceous of the Laño quarry. Evidence of diapiric activity. *Estudios del Museo de Ciencias Naturales de Álava*, *14*(Número especial 1), 29–35.

Hammer, Ø., Harper, D. A. T., & Ryan, P. D. (2001). PAST: paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. *Palaeontologia Electronica*, *4*(1), 1–9.

Hendrickx, C., & Mateus, O. (2014). Abelisauridae (Dinosauria: Theropoda) from the Late Jurassic of Portugal and dentition-based phylogeny as a contribution for the identification of isolated theropod teeth. *Zootaxa*, *3759*, 1–74.

Hendrickx, C., Hartman, S. A., & Mateus, O. (2015a). An overview of non-avian theropod discoveries and classification. *PalArch's Journal of Vertebrate Palaeontology*, *12*(1), 1–73.

Hendrickx, C., Mateus, O., & Araújo, R. (2015b). A proposed terminology of theropod teeth (Dinosauria, Saurischia). *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, *35*, e982797. https://doi.org/10.1080/02724634.2015.982797

Hendrickx, C., Mateus, O., Araújo, R., & Choiniere, J. (2019). The distribution of dental features in non-avian theropod dinosaurs: Taxonomic potential, degree of homoplasy, and major evolutionary trends. *Palaeontologia Electronica*, *22*(3), 74. https://doi.org/10.26879/820

Hendrickx, C., Tschopp, E., & d. Ezcurra, M. (2020). Taxonomic identification of isolated theropod teeth: the case of the shed tooth crown associated with

Aerosteon (Theropoda: Megaraptora) and the dentition of Abelisauridae. *Cretaceous Research*, *108*, 104312. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2019.104312

Iori, F. V., Araújo-Júnior, H. I. de, Tavares, S. A. S., Silva Marinho, T. da, & Martinelli, A. G. (2021). New theropod dinosaur from the Late Cretaceous of Brazil improves abelisaurid diversity. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, *112*, 103551. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2021.103551

Isasmendi, E., Torices, A., Canudo, J. I., & Pereda-Suberbiola, X. (2021). Abelisaurid dinosaurs from the Upper Cretaceous Laño site (Iberian Peninsula). *Ciências da Terra Procedia*, *1*, 38–41. https://doi.org/10.21695/cterraproc.v1i0.401

Isasmendi, E., Torices, A., Canudo, J. I., Currie, P. J., & Pereda-Suberbiola, X. (2022). Upper Cretaceous European theropod palaeobiodiversity, palaeobiogeography and the intra-Maastrichtian faunal turnover: new contributions from the Iberian fossil site of Laño. *Papers in Palaeontology*, *8*(1), e1419. https://doi.org/10.1002/spp2.1419

Isasmendi, E., Pérez-Pueyo, M., Moreno-Azanza, M., Alonso, A., Puértolas-Pascual, E., Bádenas, B., & Canudo, J. I. (2024). Theropod teeth palaeodiversity from the uppermost Cretaceous of the South Pyrenean Basin (NE Iberia) and the intra-Maastrichtian faunal turnover. *Cretaceous Research*, *162*, 105952. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2024.105952

Lapparent, A. F., & Zbyszewski, G. (1957). The dinosaurs from Portugal. *Memoire Services Géologiques du Portugal*, *2*(nouvelle série), 1–131.

Laurent, Y. (2003). Les faunes de vertébrés continentaux du Maastrichtien supérieur d'Europe: systématique et biodiversité. *Strata, série 2*, *41*, 1–81.

Laurent, Y., Bilotte, M., & Le Loeuff, J. (2002). Late Maastrichtian continental vertebrates from southwestern France: correlation with marine fauna. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, *187*(1–2), 121–135.

Lavocat, R. (1955). Sur une portion de mandibule de Théropode provenant du Crétacé supérieur de Madagascar. *Bulletin du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle de Paris*, *27*(2), 256–259.

Le Loeuff, J., & Buffetaut, E. (1991). *Tarascosaurus salluvicus* nov. gen., nov. sp., dinosaure théropode du Crétacé supérieur du Sud de la France. *Geobios*, 24(5), 585–594.

Le Loeuff, J. (1992*). Les vertébrés continentaux du Crétacé supérieur d'Europe: paléoécologie, biostratigraphie et paléobiogéographie* (Tesis Doctoral, Université Pierre & Marie Curie, Paris, Paris).

Longrich, N. R., Pereda-Suberbiola, X., Jalil, N. E., Khaldoune, F., & Jourani, E. (2017). An abelisaurid from the latest Cretaceous (late Maastrichtian) of Morocco, North Africa. *Cretaceous Research*, *76*, 40–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2017.03.021

Maddison, W. P., & Maddison, D. R. (2011). *Mesquite: a modular system for evolutionary analysis*. Retrieved May 23, 2012, from http://mesquiteproject.org.

Madsen, J. H. Jr (1976). *Allosaurus fragilis*: a revised osteology. *Utah Geological Survey Bulletin*, *109*, 1–163.

Madsen, J. H. Jr, & Welles, S. P. (2000). *Ceratosaurus* (Dinosauria, Theropoda), a revised osteology. *Utah Geological Survey, Miscellaneous Publication*, *2*, 1–80.

Malafaia, E., Mocho, P., Escaso, F. & Ortega, F. (2020). A new carcharodontosaurian theropod from the Lusitanian Basin: evidence of allosauroid sympatry in the

European Late Jurassic. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, *40*(1), e1768106. https://doi.org/10.1080/02724634.2020.1768106

Malafaia, E., Escaso, F., Coria, R. A., & Ortega, F. (2023). An Eudromaeosaurian Theropod from Lo Hueco (Upper Cretaceous. Central Spain). *Diversity*, *15*(2), 141. https://doi.org/10.3390/d15020141

Malafaia, E., Mocho, P., Escaso, F., Narvaéz, I., & Ortega, F. (2024). Taxonomic and stratigraphic update of the material historically attributed to *Megalosaurus* from Portugal. *Palaeontologia Polonica*, *69*(2), 127–171. https://doi.org/10.4202/app.01113.2023

Malafaia, E., Escaso, F., Coria, R. A., Pérez-García, A., & Ortega, F. (2025). Theropod teeth from the Upper Cretaceous of central Spain: Assessing the paleobiogeographic history of European abelisaurids. *Cretaceous Research*, 168, 106072. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2024.106072

Marmi, J., Blanco, A., Fondevilla, V., Dalla Vecchia, F. M., Sellés, A. G., Vicente, A., Martín-Closas, C., Oms, O., & Galobart, A. (2016). The Molí del Baró-1 site, a diverse fossil assemblage from the uppermost Maastrichtian of the southern Pyrenees (north-eastern Iberia). *Cretaceous Research*, *57*, 519–539. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2015.06.016

Marsh, O. C. (1881). Principal characters of American Jurassic dinosaurs. Part V. *American Journal of Science*, *21*(Series 3), 417–423.

Marsh, O. C. (1884). Principal characters of the American Jurassic dinosaurs. Part VIII. The order Theropoda. *American Journal of Science*, *27*, 329–340.

Martín-Chivelet, J., Floquet, M., García-Senz, J., Callapez, P. M., Lopez-Mir, B., Muñoz, J. A., Barroso-Barcenilla, F., Segura, M., Ferreira Soares, A., Morgado Dinis, P., Fonseca Marques, J., & Arbues, P. (2019). Late Cretaceous post-rift to

convergence in Iberia. In C. Quesada, & J. T. Oliveira (Eds.). *The geology of Iberia: A geodynamic approach, Vol. 3, The Alpine Cycle*. Springer.

Méndez, A. H. (2014). The caudal vertebral series in abelisaurid dinosaurs. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica*, *59*(1), 99–107. https://doi.org/10.4202/app.2012.0095

Méndez, A. H., Gianechini, F. A., Paulina-Carabajal, A., Filippi, L. S., Juárez-Valieri, R. D., Cerda, I. A., & Garrido, A. C. (2022). New furileusaurian remains from La Invernada (northern Patagonia, Argentina): A site of unusual abelisaurids abundance. *Cretaceous Research*, *129*, 104989. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2021.10498

Meso, J. G., Hendrickx, C., Baiano, M. A., Canale, J. I., Salgado, L., & Díaz Martínez, I. (2021). Isolated theropod teeth associated with a sauropod skeleton from the Late Cretaceous Allen Formation of Río Negro, Patagonia, Argentina. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica*, *66*(2), 409–423. https://doi.org/10.4202/app.00847.2020

Mey, P. H. W., Nagtegaal, P. J. C., Roberti, K. J., & Hartevelt, J. J. A. (1968). Lithostratigraphic subdivision of post-Hercynian deposits in the south-central Pyrenees, Spain. *Leidse Geologische Mededelingen*, *41*, 221–228.

Mutti, E., & Sgavetti, M. (1987). Sequence stratigraphy of the Upper Cretaceous Aren strata in the Aren-Orcau region, south-central Pyrenees, Spain: Distinction between eustatically and tectonically controlled depositional sequences. *Annali dell'Università di Ferrara*, *1*, 1–22.

Nagtegaal, P. J. C., Van Vliet, A., & Brouwer, J. (1983). Syntectonic coastal offlap and concurrent turbidite deposition: The Upper Cretaceous Aren sandstone in the South-Central Pyrenees, Spain. *Sedimentary Geology*, *34*, 185–218. https://doi.org/10.1016/0037-0738(83)90086-6.

Novas, F. E. (1991). Relaciones filogeneticas de los dinosaurios teropodos ceratosaurios. *Ameghiniana*, *28*(3–4), 410.

Novas, F. E., Chatterjee, S., Rudra, D. K., & Datta, P. M. (2010). *Rahiolisaurus gujaratensis*, n. gen. n. sp., a new abelisaurid theropod from the Late Cretaceous of India. In S. Bandyopadhyay (Ed.). New Aspects of Mesozoic Biodiversity (pp. 45–62). Springer.

Novas, F. E., Agnolín, F. L., Ezcurra, M. D., Porfiri, J., & Canale, J. I. (2013). Evolution of the carnivorous dinosaurs during the Cretaceous: the evidence from Patagonia. *Cretaceous Research*, *45*, 174–215. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2013.04.001

O'Connor, P. M. (2007). The postcranial axial skeleton of *Majungasaurus crenatissimus* (Theropoda: Abelisauridae) from the Late Cretaceous of Madagascar. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, *27*(S2), 127-163. https://doi.org/10.1671/0272-4634(2007)27[127:TPASOM]2.0.CO;2

Ortega, F., & Pérez-García, A. (2009). cf. *Lirainosaurus* sp. (Dinosauria: Titanosauria) en el Cretácico Superior de Sacedón (Guadalajara). *Geogaceta*, *46*, 87–90.

Ortega, F., Bardet, N., Barroso-Barcenilla, F., Callapez, P. M., Cambra-Moo, O., Daviero-Gomez, V., Díez Díaz, V., Domingo, L., Elvira, A., Escaso, F., García-Oliva, M., Gomez, B., Houssaye, A., Knoll, F., Marcos-Fernandez, F., Martín, M., Mocho, P., Narvaez, I., Perez-García, A., Peyrot, D., Segura, M., Serrano, H., Torices, A., Vidal, D., & Sanz, J. L. (2015). The biota of the Upper Cretaceous site of Lo Hueco (Cuenca, Spain). *Journal of Iberian Geology*, 41, 83–99. https://doi.org/10.5209/rev_JIGE.2015.v41.n1.48657

Ortega, F., Escaso, F., Mocho, P., Narváez, I., & Pérez-García, A. (2019). Eggs and bones: a preliminary comparison between the Upper Cretaceous faunas of the

Poyos, Portilla and Lo Hueco sites (Villalba de la Sierra Formation. Central Spain). *X Congreso Latinoamericano de Paleontología* (p. 106). El Salvador.

Ortega, F., Malafaia, E., Escaso, F., & Coria, R. A. (2022). New material of theropods (Abelisauroidea?) from Lo Hueco (Late Cretaceous. Cuenca, Central Spain). *PalaeoVertebrata, special vol. 1*, 147. https://doi.org/10.18563/pv.eavp2022

Ősi, A., & Buffetaut, E. (2011). Additional non-avian theropod and bird remains from the early Late Cretaceous (Santonian) of Hungary and a review of the European abelisauroid record. *Annales de Paléontologie*, *97*(1–2), 35–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annpal.2011.07.001

Ősi, A., Apesteguía, S., & Kowalewski, M. (2010). Non-avian theropod dinosaurs from the early Late Cretaceous of Central Europe. *Cretaceous Research*, *31*(3), 304–320. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2010.01.001

Pereda-Suberbiola, X., & Sanz, J. L. (1999). The ornithopod dinosaur *Rhabdodon* from the Upper Cretaceous of Lano (Iberian peninsula). *Museo de Ciencias naturales de Alava*, *14*(Número especial 1), 257–272.

Pereda-Suberbiola, X., Astibia, H., Murelaga, X., Elorza, J. J., & Gómez-Alday, J. J. (2000). Taphonomy of the Late Cretaceous dinosaur-bearing beds of the Laño Quarry (Iberian Peninsula). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, *157*(3–4), 247–275. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-0182(99)00169-8

Pereda-Suberbiola, X., Corral, J. C., Astibia, H., Badiola, A., Bardet, N., Berreteaga, A., Buffetaut, E., Buscalioni, A. D., Cappetta, H., Cavin, L., Díez Díaz, V., Gheerbrant, E., Murelaga, X., Ortega, F., Pérez-García, A., Poyato-Ariza, F., Rage, J. C., Sanz, J. L., & Torices, A. (2015). Late cretaceous continental and marine vertebrate assemblages from the Laño quarry (Basque-Cantabrian Region,

Iberian Peninsula): an update. *Journal of Iberian Geology*, *41*, 101–124. https://doi.org/10.5209/rev_JIGE.2015.v41.n1.48658

Pérez-García, A., Ortega, F., Bolet, A., Escaso, F., Houssaye, A., Martínez-Salanova, J., de Miguel Chaves, C., Mocho, P., Narvaez, I., Segura, M., Torices, A., Vidal, D., & Sanz, J. L. (2016). A review of the upper Campanian vertebrate site of Armuña (Segovia Province, Spain). *Cretaceous Research*, *57*, 591–623. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2015.08.008

Pérez-García, A., Gascó, F., & Ortega, F. (2019). The Upper Cretaceous Poyos site: a large dinosaur nesting area in Central Spain. *X Congreso Latinoamericano de Paleontología* (p. 108). El Salvador.

Pérez-García, A., Bardet, N., Fregenal-Martínez, M. A., Martín-Jiménez, M., Mocho, P., Narváez, I., Torices, A., Vullo, R., & Ortega, F. (2020). Cenomanian vertebrates from Algora (central Spain): New data on the establishment of the European Upper Cretaceous continental faunas. *Cretaceous Research*, *115*, 104566. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2020.104566

Pérez-Pueyo, M., Cruzado-Caballero, P., Moreno-Azanza, M., Vila, B., Castanera, D., Gasca, J. M., Puértolas-Pascual, E., Bádenas, B., & Canudo, J. I. (2021). The Tetrapod Fossil Record from the Uppermost Maastrichtian of the Ibero-Armorican Island: An Integrative Review Based on the Outcrops of the Western Tremp Syncline (Aragón, Huesca Province, NE Spain). *Geosciences*, *11*(4), 1–162. https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences11040162

Platt, N. H. (1989). Lacustrine carbonates and pedogenesis: sedimentology and origin of palustrine deposits from the Early Cretaceous Rupelo Formation, W Cameros Basin, N Spain. *Sedimentology*, *36*(4), 665–684. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3091.1989.tb02092.x

Platt, N. H., & Wright, V. P. (1992). Palustrine carbonates and the Florida Everglades; towards an exposure index for the fresh-water environment?. *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, *62*(6), 1058–1071. https://doi.org/10.1306/D4267A4B-2B26-11D7-8648000102C1865D

Peyrot, D., Barron, E., Pereda-Suberbiola, X., & Company, J. (2020). Vegetational composition of the Upper Cretaceous vertebrate site of Chera (Valencia, Spain) and its significance in mosaic vegetation from southwestern Europe. *Cretaceous Research*, *106*, 104254. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2019.104254

Pol, D., & Rauhut, O. W. M. (2012). A Middle Jurassic abelisaurid from Patagonia and the early diversification of theropod dinosaurs. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, *279*(1741), 3170–3175. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.0660

Puértolas-Pascual, E., Arenillas, I., Arz, J. A., Calvín, P., Ezquerro, L., García-Vicente, C., Pérez-Pueyo, M., Sánchez-Moreno, E. M., Villalaín, J. I., & Canudo, J. I. (2018). Chronostratigraphy and new vertebrate sites from the upper Maastrichtian of Huesca (Spain), and their relation with the K/Pg boundary. *Cretaceous Research*, 89, 36–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2018.02.016

Rauhut, O. W. M. (2003). The interrelationships and evolution of basal theropod dinosaurs. *Special Papers in Palaeontology*, *69*, 1–213.

Richter, U., Mudroch, A., & Buckley, L. G. (2013). Isolated theropod teeth from the Kem Kem beds (early Cenomanian) near Taouz, Morocco. *Paläontologische Zeitschrift*, *87*, 291–309. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12542-012-0153-1

Rosell, J., Linares, R., & Llompart, C. (2001). El “Garumniense” prepirenaico. *Revista de la Sociedad Geológica de España*, *14*, 47–56.

Ruiz-Omeñaca, J. I., Vullo, R., Bernárdez, E., & Buscaloni, Á. D. (2009). El primer resto directo de terópodo del Cenomaniense de la Peninsula Ibérica: el diente de Limanes (Oviedo, Asturias). *Geogaceta*, *47*(3-4), 29–32.

Sales, M. A., Lacerda, M. B., Horn, B. L., de Oliveira, I. A., & Schultz, C. L. (2016). The "χ" of the matter: testing the relationship between paleoenvironments and three theropod clades. *PLOS ONE*, *11*(2), e0147031. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0147031

Santos Brilhante, N., de França, T. C., Castro, F., Sanches da Costa, L., Currie, P. J., Kugland de Azevedo, S. A., & Delcourt, R. (2022). A dromaeosaurid-like claw from the Upper Cretaceous of southern France. *Historical Biology*, *34*(11), 2195–2204. https://doi.org/10.1080/08912963.2021.2007243

Sauvage, H. E. (1897–1898). Fossil vertebrates from Portugal. Contributions to the study of fish and reptiles from the Jurassic and Cretacic. *Direction des Travaux Géologiques du Portugal*, *29*, 1–46.

Serrano-Martínez, A., Ortega, F., Sciscio, L., Tent-Manclús, J. E., Fierro Bandera, I., & Knoll, F. (2015). New theropod remains from the Tiouraren Formation (?Middle Jurassic, Niger) and their bearing on the dental evolution in basal tetanurans. *Proceedings of the Geologists' Association*, *126*(1), 107–118. https://doi.org/10.4202/app.00101.2014.

Smith, J. B. (2007). Dental morphology and variation in *Majungasaurus crenatissimus* (Theropoda: Abelisauridae) from the Late Cretaceous of Madagascar. *Society of Vertebrate Paleontology Memoir*, *8*, 103–126.

Smith, J. B., & Dodson, P. (2003). A proposal for a standard terminology of anatomical notation and orientation in fossil vertebrate dentitions. *Journal of Vertebrate*

paleontology, *23*(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1671/0272-4634(2003)23[1:APFAST]2.0.CO;2

Smith, J. B., Vann, D. R., & Dodson, P. (2005). Dental morphology and variation in theropod dinosaurs: implications for the taxonomic identification of isolated teeth. *The Anatomical Record Part A: Discoveries in Molecular, Cellular, and Evolutionary Biology: An Official Publication of the American Association of Anatomists*, *285*(2), 699–736. https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.a.20206

Soto, M., Delcourt, R., Langer, M. C., & Perea, D. (2022). The first record of Abelisauridae (Theropoda: Ceratosauria) from Uruguay (Late Jurassic, Tacuarembó Formation). *Historical Biology*, *35*(12), 2362–2371. https://doi.org/10.1080/08912963.2022.2140425

Torices Hernández, A. (2002). Los dinosaurios terópodos del Cretácico Superior de la Cuenca de Tremp (Pirineos Sur-Centrales, Lleida). *Coloquios de Paleontología*, *53*, 139–146.

Torices, A., Barroso-Barcenilla, F., Cambra-Moo, O., Pérez-García, A., & Segura, M. (2012). Palaeontological and palaeobiogeographical implications of the new Cenomanian vertebrate site of Algora, Guadalajara, Spain. *Cretaceous Research*, *37*, 231–239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2012.04.004

Torices, A., Currie, P. J., Canudo, J. I., & Pereda-Suberbiola, X. (2015). Theropod dinosaurs from the upper cretaceous of the south pyrenees basin of Spain. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica*, *60*(3), 611–626. https://doi.org/10.4202/app.2012.0121

Tortosa, T., Buffetaut, E., Vialle, N., Dutour, Y., Turini, E., & Cheylan, G. (2014). A new abelisaurid dinosaur from the Late Cretaceous of southern France: Palaeobiogeographical implications. *Annales de Paleontologie*, *100*(1), 63–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annpal.2013.10.003

Valentin, X., Godefroit, P., Tabuce, R., Vianey-Liaud, M., Wu, W., & García, G., (2012). First late Maastrichtian (latest Cretaceous) vertebrate assemblage from Provence (Vitrolles-la-Plaine, southern France). In P. Godefroit, (Ed.). *Bernissart Dinosaurs and Early Cretaceous Terrestrial Ecosystems* (pp. 582–597). Indiana University Press.

Vicente, A., Villalba Breva, S., Ferràndez-Cañadell, C., & Martín-Closas, C. (2014). Revision of the Maastrichtian-Palaeocene charophyte biostratigraphy of the Fontllonga reference section (southern Pyrenees, Catalonia, Spain). *Geologica Acta*, *14*(4), 349–362. https://doi.org/10.1344/GeologicaActa2016.14.4.2

Vicente, A., Martín-Closas, C., Arz, J. A., & Oms, O. (2015). Maastrichtian-basal Paleocene charophyte biozonation and its calibration to the Global Polarity Time Scale in the southern Pyrenees (Catalonia, Spain). *Cretaceous Research*, *52*(A), 268–285. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2014.10.004

Vullo, R., Neraudeau, D., & Lenglet, T. (2007). Dinosaur teeth from the Cenomanian of Charentes, western France: evidence for a mixed Laurasian-Gondwanan assemblage. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, *27*(4), 931–943. https://doi.org/10.1671/0272-4634(2007)27[931:DTFTCO]2.0.CO;2

Wilson, J. A., Sereno, P. C., Srivastava, S., Bhatt, D. K., Khosla, A., & Sahni, A. (2003). A new abelisaurid (Dinosauria Theropoda) from the Lameta Formation (Cretaceous, Maastrichtian) of India. *Contributions from the Museum of Paleontology. University of Michigan*, *31*(1), 1–42.

Appendices

Supplementary material 1. Measurements of the studied teeth, datasets, and DA results.

Supplementary material 2. Nexus file of the dental matrix.

Supplementary material 3. Abelisauridae and possible Abelisauridae occurrences and locality list for the Upper Cretaceous of the Ibero-Armorican Domain.

Figure captions

Figure 1. Geologic and chronostratigraphic setting of the uppermost Cretaceous fossil sites where the studied remains were recovered. **1**, map of the Iberian Mesozoic basins showing the locations of the Chera 2, Laño, Montrebei, and Viso fossil sites (modified from Gómez et al., 2019); and **2**, chronostratigraphic position of the Chera 2, Laño, Montrebei and Viso fossil sites.

Figure 2. Abelisauridae indet. teeth from Montrebei and Viso. Morphotype 1 (**DPM-MON-T10** 1) **1**, mesial denticles; crown in **2**, mesial; **3**, lingual; **4**, distal; and **5**, labial views; **6**, close up of enamel texture; and **7**, basal cross section in basal view. Morphotype 2 (**MG** 73) tooth in **8**, mesial; **9**, lingual; **10**, distal; **11**, labial; and **12**, basal views (modified from Malafaia et al., 2024). Abbreviations: **cv**, cervix; **dt**, denticle; **mc**, mesial carina; **dc**, distal carina; **dl**, dentine layer; **iet**, irregular enamel texture; **pc**, pulp cavity; **tu**; transverse undulation. Scale bar equals 1 cm, except for denticles and texture (5 mm).

Figure 3. cf. *Arcovenator* axial elements from Laño. Anterior dorsal vertebra (**MCNA** 8366) in **1**, posterior; **2**, lateral; **3**, anterior; and **4**; dorsal views. Caudal vertebra (**MCNA** 17433) in **5**, ventral; **6**, anterior; **7**, lateral; and **8**, posterior views. Abbreviations: **cdl**, centrodiapophyseal lamina; **fch**, facet for chevron; **ns**, neural spine; **poz**, postzygapophysis; **tp**, transverse process; **vg**, ventral groove. Scale bar equals 5 cm.

Figure 4. *Arcovenator* sp. tooth from Chera 2 (**CH** 168). **1**, mesial denticles; crown in **2**, mesial; **3**, lingual; **4**, distal; **5**, labial; and **6**, basal views. 7, close up of enamel

texture; and **8**, distal denticles. Abbreviations: **dt**, denticle; **mc**, mesial carina; **dc**, distal carina; **dl**, dentine layer; **iet**, irregular enamel texture; **pc**, pulp cavity. Scale bar equals 1 cm, except for denticles and texture (5 mm).

Figure 5. Graphical results of the LDAs (LDA 1 and 3) carried out at the clade-level. **1**, LDA performed using database 1 at clade-level. Axis 1 accounts for 47.67 % of the variance (Eigenvalue = 2.6735), and Axis 2 accounts for 24.67 % of the variation (Eigenvalue = 1.3703). **2**, LDA performed using database 2 at the clade-level. Axis 1 accounts for 55.62 % of the variance (Eigenvalue = 2.6056), and Axis 2 for 30.06 % of the variation (Eigenvalue = 1.4081). Silhouettes courtesy of Scott Hartman.

Figure 6. Graphical results of the LDA performed with the Ibero-Armorican abelisaurid teeth (database 3). Axis 1 accounts for 49.29 % of the variance (Eigenvalue = 2.8359), and Axis 2 for 26.72 % of the variation (Eigenvalue = 1.5371).

Figure 7. Results of the phylogenetic analyses performed for specimens **MG** 73 and **CH** 168 based on the dentition-based data matrix (constrained search) of Hendrickx et al. (2020). **1,** simplified single MPT (CI = 0.203; RI = 0.542) obtained from the phylogenetic analysis, showing the position of specimen **MG** 73 from Viso (highlighted in bold). **2**, simplified consensus tree (CI = 0.199; RI = 0,452) obtained from five MPTs recovered in the phylogenetic analysis, showing the position of specimen **CH** 168 from Chera 2 (highlighted in bold).

Figure 8. Simplified strict consensus tree (CI = 0.1809; RI = 0.376) obtained from seven MPTs recovered in the phylogenetic analysis based on the dentition-based data matrix (constrained search) of Hendrickx et al. (2020), showing the position of **DPM-MONT-T1** 1 from Montrebei (highlighted in bold).

Figure 9. Paleogeographic and temporal distribution of the currently known Ibero-Armorican abelisaurids and large-sized theropod remains that likely belong to

Abelisauridae. **1**, map of the Ibero-Armorican Mesozoic basins (modified from Buffetaut et al., 2024; Malafaia et al., 2025) showing the location where Late Cretaceous Ibero-Armorican abelisaurid or large-sized theropod remains have been recovered. **2**, chronological distribution of the Late Cretaceous abelisaurids or large-sized theropods that may be identified as Abelisauridae (modified from Tortosa et al., 2014). Abelisaurid- or large-size theropod-bearing sites or areas: 1, Saint-Jouin-Bruneval; 2, “La Buzinie”; 3, Limanes; 4, Algora; 5, Viso; 6, Lambeau du Beausset; 7, Trets-La Boucharde; 8, Velaux-La Bastide Neuve; 9, Fox-Amphoux; 10, Pourrières-Jas Neuf Sud; 11, Laño; 12, Armuña; 13, Chera 2; 14, Cruzy; 15, Pourcieux-Les Tuillières; 16, Cassagnau 1; 17, Lo Hueco; 18, Poyos; 19, Vitrolles-La Plaine; 20, Montrebei; 21, Blasi; 22, 172-i/04/e.


1
Asturian Basin
Basque-Cantabrian Basin
Laño
Pyrenean Basin
Cameros Basin
Montrebei
Lusitanian Basin
Viso
Iberian Basin
Catalonian Coastal Basin
Chera 2
Betic Basin
El Algarbe Basin
N
200 km
2
Ma
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
Maastrichtian
Campanian
late
early
late
C29r
C30n
C31n
C31r
C32n.1n
C32n.1r
C32n.2n
C32r.1r
C32r.2r
C33n
Montrebei
Viso
Laño
Chera 2


1
dt
2
mc
3
dc
4
5
6
iet
7
pc
dl
8
9
tu
dc
mc
cv
10
11
966
12
pc
dl


1
ns
poz
tp
2
tp
3
tp
4
tp
poz
ns
5
vg
tp
fch
cdl
6
7
cdl
vg
8


1
dt
2
mc
3
dc
4
5
6
dl
pc
7
dt
iet
8
dt


1
Spinosauridae
Carcharodontosauridae
MG 73
CH 168
Tyrannosauridae
Non-abelisauroid Ceratosauria
Megaraptora
DPM-MON-T10 1
Neovenatoridae
Abelisauridae


2
Abelisauridae
Tyrannosauridae
CH 168
Neovenatoridae
MG 73
Megaraptora
DPM-MON-T10 1
Carcharodontosauridae
Non-abelisauroid Ceratosauria


4
3
2
1
0
-1
-2
-3
-4
-5
-4
-2
0
2
4
6
8
Abelisauridae Poyos 2
Abelisauridae indet. 3 Tremp
Abelisauridae indet. 2 Tremp
MG 73
Arcovenator Laño
cf. Arcovenator Armuña
Arcovenator Jas Neuf Sud
Abelisauridae Poyos 1
Abelisauridae indet. 1 Tremp
DPM-MON-T10 1
CH 168


1
Herrerasaurus
Daemonosaurus
Eoraptor
Eodromaeus
Dracovenator
Coelophysis
Dilophosaurus
Liliensternus
Berberosaurus
Ceratosaurus
Genyodectes
Noasauridae
Limusaurus_juvenile
Limusaurus_adult
Noasaurus
Masiakasaurus
MG 73
Kryptops
Rugops
Abelisaurus
Chenanisaurus
Aucasaurus
Skorpiovenator
Arcovenator
Indosuchus
Majungasaurus
Tetanurae
Ceratosauria
Abelisauroidea
Abelisauridae


2
Herrerasaurus
Daemonosaurus
Eoraptor
Eodromaeus
Dracovenator
Coelophysis
Dilophosaurus
Liliensternus
Chilesaurus
Ceratosauria
Piatnitzkysaurus
Sciurumimus
Marshosaurus
Monolophosaurus
Dubreuillosaurus
Afrovenator
Eustreptospondylus
Duriavenator
Megalosaurus
Torvosaurus
Baryonyx
Suchomimus
Irritator_Angaturama
Spinosaurus
Allosauroidea
Bicentenaria
Aorun
Zuolong
Dilong
Proceratosaurus
Guanlong
Eotyrannus
CH 168
Raptorex
Gorgosaurus
Alioramus
Daspletosaurus
Tyrannosaurus
Neocoelurosauria


Herrerasaurus
Daemonosaurus
Eoraptor
Eodromaeus
Dracovenator
Coelophysis
Dilophosaurus
Liliensternus
Berberosaurus
Limusaurus_juvenile
Limusaurus_adult
Noasaurus
Masiakasaurus
Chilesaurus
Piatnitzkysaurus
Sciurumimus
Bicentenaria
Aorun
Zuolong
Ornitholestes
Haplocheirus
Incisivosaurus
Sinusonasus
Byronosaurus
Epidexipteryx
Archaeopteryx
DPM -MON-T10 1
Ceratosaurus
Genyodectes
Shuvuuia
Mononykus
Monolophosaurus
Marshosaurus
Abelisauridae
Eustreptospondylus
Afrovenator
Dubreuillosaurus
Duriavenator
Megalosaurus
Torvosaurus
Baryonyx
Suchomimus
Irritator_Angaturama
Spinosaurus
Allosauroidea
Tyrannosauroidea
Compsonathidae
Ornithomimosauria
Therizinosauria
Oviraptosauria
Dromaeosauridae
MPC D100 1128
Almas
Zanabazar
Troodon


1
10º W
0º
50º N
40º N
N
Paris
Paris Basin
FRANCE
Lyon
Aquitanian Basin
Sub Alpine Basin
Asturian Basin
Bilbao
Basque-Cantabrian Basin
Pyrenean Basin
Cameros Basin
Marseille
Porto
PORTUGAL
SPAIN
Madrid
Barcelona
Lusitanian Basin
Lisbon
Maestrazgo Basin
Algarve Basin
Betic Basin
100 km
Theropoda indet.
cf. Abelisauridae
Abelisauridae indet.
Arcovenator/?Arcovenator/
cf. Arcovenator/closely related to Arcovenator
Caletodraco
Tarascosaurus
2
100
95
90
85
80
75
70
65
Cenomanian
Turonian
Coniacian
Santo-
nian
Campanian
Maastrichtian
C34n
C33r
C33n
C32n
.2n
C31r
C30n
4
5, 17
2, 3, 4
7
9
8
5,14, 17,18
20
16, 19, 21, 22
10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 18
1
6

TABLE 1. Results of the discriminant analyses performed with database 1, 2 and 3. Databases in Supplementary material 1.

	LDA 1 (clade)		LDA 2 (taxa)		LDA 3 (clade)		LDA 4 (taxa)		LDA 5 (Ibero-armorica)	
	Classification	Jackknifed	Classification	Jackknifed	Classification	Jackknifed	Classification	Jackknifed	Classification	Jackknifed
MG 73		Abelisauridae	*Carnotaurus*	*Carnotaurus*		Megaraptora	*Carnotaurus*	*Carnotaurus*	*Arcovenator* Jas Neuf Sud	*Arcovenator* Jas Neuf Sud
CH 168		Non-abelisauroid Ceratosauria		*Gorgosaurus*	Tyrannosauridae	Tyrannosauridae		*Gorgosaurus*		Abelisauridae indet. closely related to *Arcovenator* Poyos. Morphotype 1
DPM-MON-T101	Carcharodontosauridae	Carcharodontosauriae	*Acrocanthosaurus*	*Acrocanthosaurus*		Megaraptora	*Aucasaurus*	*Aucasaurus*		Abelisauridae indet. 1 Western Tremp Syncline